

# EUR Research Information Portal

## Cognition and health-related quality of life in long-term survivors of high-grade glioma

**Published in:**

Acta Neurochirurgica

**Publication status and date:**

Published: 03/04/2024

**DOI (link to publisher):**

[10.1007/s00701-024-06037-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00701-024-06037-7)

**Document Version**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

**Document License/Available under:**

CC BY

**Citation for the published version (APA):**

Spoor, J. K. H., Donders-Kamphuis, M., Veenstra, W. S., van Dijk, S. A., Dirven, C. M. F., Sillevius Smitt, P. A. E., van den Bent, M. J., Leenstra, S., & Satoer, D. D. (2024). Cognition and health-related quality of life in long-term survivors of high-grade glioma: an interactive perspective from patient and caregiver. *Acta Neurochirurgica*, 166(1), Article 166. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00701-024-06037-7>

[Link to publication on the EUR Research Information Portal](#)

**Terms and Conditions of Use**

Except as permitted by the applicable copyright law, you may not reproduce or make this material available to any third party without the prior written permission from the copyright holder(s). Copyright law allows the following uses of this material without prior permission:

- you may download, save and print a copy of this material for your personal use only;
- you may share the EUR portal link to this material.

In case the material is published with an open access license (e.g. a Creative Commons (CC) license), other uses may be allowed. Please check the terms and conditions of the specific license.

**Take-down policy**

If you believe that this material infringes your copyright and/or any other intellectual property rights, you may request its removal by contacting us at the following email address: [openaccess.library@eur.nl](mailto:openaccess.library@eur.nl). Please provide us with all the relevant information, including the reasons why you believe any of your rights have been infringed. In case of a legitimate complaint, we will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website.



# Cognition and health-related quality of life in long-term survivors of high-grade glioma: an interactive perspective from patient and caregiver

Jochem K. H. Spoor<sup>1</sup> · Marike Donders-Kamphuis<sup>1,2</sup> · Wencke S. Veenstra<sup>3</sup> · Sarah A. van Dijk<sup>4</sup> · Clemens M. F. Dirven<sup>1</sup> · Peter A. E. Sillevius Smitt<sup>4</sup> · Martin J. van den Bent<sup>4</sup> · Sieger Leenstra<sup>1</sup> · Djaina D. Satoer<sup>1</sup>

Received: 19 August 2023 / Accepted: 14 March 2024  
© The Author(s) 2024

## Abstract

**Background** The health-related quality of life (HRQoL) and cognition are important indicators for the quality of survival in patients with high-grade glioma (HGG). However, data on long-term survivors and their caregivers are scarce. We aim to investigate the interaction between cognition and HRQoL in long-term survivors, their caregivers' evaluations, and the effect on caregiver strain and burden.

**Methods** 21 long-term HGG (8 WHO grade III and 13 WHO grade IV) survivors (survival  $\geq 5$  years) and 15 caregivers were included. Cognition (verbal memory, attention, executive functioning, and language), HRQoL, anxiety and depression, caregiver strain, and caregiver burden were assessed with standardized measures. Questionnaires were completed by patients and/or their caregivers.

**Results** Mean survival was 12 years (grade III) and 8 years (grade IV). Cognition was significantly impaired with a large individual variety. Patients' general HRQoL was not impaired but all functioning scales were deviant. Patient-proxy agreement was found in most HRQoL subscales. Three patients (14%) showed indications of anxiety or depression. One-third of the caregivers reported a high caregiver strain or a high burden. Test scores for attention, executive functioning, language, and/or verbal memory were correlated with perceived global health status, cognitive functioning, and/or communication deficits. Caregiver burden was not related to cognitive deficits.

**Conclusions** In long-term HGG survivors maintained HRQoL seems possible even when cognition is impaired in a large variety at the individual level. A tailored approach is therefore recommended to investigate the cognitive impairments and HRQoL in patients and the need for patient and caregiver support.

**Keywords** High-grade glioma · Long-term survival · Cognition · Quality of life · Caregivers

Shared first authorship: Jochem Spoor and Marike Donders-Kamphuis as both authors contributed equally to this paper.

✉ Jochem K. H. Spoor  
j.spoor@erasmusmc.nl

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, Erasmus MC – University Medical Center Rotterdam, Doctor Molewaterplein 40, 3015 GD Rotterdam, The Netherlands
- <sup>2</sup> HMC, Department of Neurosurgery, The Hague, The Netherlands
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Center for Rehabilitation - University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Neurology, Erasmus MC – University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

## Abbreviations

BNT	Boston Naming Test
CSI	Caregiver Strain Index
DIMA	Diagnostic Instrument for Mild Aphasia
EORTC	European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer
HADS	Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale
HGG	High-grade glioma
HRQoL	Health-related quality of life
HVLT	Hopkins Verbal Learning Test
TMT	Trail Making Test
TT	Token Test
QLQ	Quality of Life Questionnaire
ZBI	Zarit Burden Interview

## Introduction

Despite intensive combination treatment with surgery, radiotherapy, and chemotherapy, high-grade gliomas (HGG) still have a poor prognosis. The tumor itself, the tumor treatments, co-morbid conditions such as epilepsy, and medication all may impair brain function, resulting in impaired cognition [7, 20]. This becomes more relevant over time, thereby affecting long-term survivors substantially more than short-term survivors [9]. Prolonged survival is less meaningful if cognition and well-being are not preserved [4]. In addition, cognitive functioning and health-related quality of life (HRQoL) are positively correlated with survival [18, 24, 41]. However, data on long-term HGG survivors is limited.

Cognition can be measured by validated tests and by questionnaires. In brain tumor patients, results on cognitive tests were not always in accordance with perceived cognitive functioning [12, 40]. In addition, it is common that perceived cognition differs when rated by the patient or caregiver [6, 13, 40]. Knowing how to assess cognition optimally is essential to referring for support or rehabilitation adequately.

Without a doubt, the diagnosis of an HGG impacts both the patient and the caregiver. Caring for an HGG patient brings psychological distress and a heavy burden [1, 17, 30, 31]. For caregivers of HGG long-term survivors, this situation lasts for years. How a patient's cognitive function influences the caregiver's strain and burden is unknown.

In this study, we primarily aim to investigate the cognitive status of long-term HGG survivors. Various tests that measure different cognitive functions and questionnaires on HRQoL and well-being (filled out by the patient and caregiver) are administered. Secondly, we focus on the influence of cognitive functioning on the perceived HRQoL of the patient and the caregiver's strain and burden.

## Methods and materials

This study was conducted between January 2019 and July 2020 after screening a departmental database to select adult patients with an initial diagnosis of glioma WHO grade III or IV. All patients were treated with surgery and combinations of radiotherapy and chemotherapy between 1999 and 2014 and survived at least five years after diagnosis. If deemed feasible a maximal safe resection was performed under awake conditions or under general anesthesia. All other patients underwent a navigation based biopsy. Because

the data was collected prior to 2021, the 2016 WHO tumor classification was used [22]. Patients with stable diseases and their caregivers were included. Patients who were unable to perform the tests or who were not native speakers of the Dutch language were excluded. A cohort of 36 patients was identified from our departmental database. Fifteen patients were excluded because of tumor progression ( $n=4$ ), decease ( $n=3$ ), refusal ( $n=3$ ), relocation to another region ( $n=2$ ), participation in a different study ( $n=1$ ), a different tumor ( $n=1$ ), or another mother tongue ( $n=1$ ). Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics were collected. The Ethical Committee of Erasmus MC Rotterdam approved the study (MEC 2017–1152). All participants gave written informed consent.

Table 1 shows the demographic and clinical characteristics of the 21 included patients and 15 caregivers. The tumor was localized in the left hemisphere in 8 patients (38%), the right hemisphere in 12 patients (57%), and multifocal in one patient (5%). Histological analysis showed WHO-grade III (anaplastic astrocytoma/anaplastic oligodendroglioma) in eight patients (38%), and glioblastoma (WHO grade IV) in 13 patients (62%). See Table 1 for further molecular characterization of these tumors. The mean survival at cognitive assessment was 12 years in grade III (range 7–16 years) and eight years in grade IV (range 5–20 years).

Cognitive tests on the domains of verbal memory (Hopkins Verbal Learning Test, HVL, [3]), attention and executive functioning (Trail Making Test, TMT, [38]), and language (Boston Naming Test, BNT [19]; shortened Token Test, TT, [8]; Diagnostic Instrument for Mild Aphasia, DIMA, [32]; category fluency [23] and letter fluency [33]) were administered. Questionnaires on HRQoL (EORTC QLQ-C30, [39]; EORTC QLQ-BN20, [25]), anxiety and depression (Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, HADS, [44]), caregiver strain (Caregiver Strain Index, CSI, [28]), and caregiver burden (Zarit Burden Interview, ZBI, [2]) were filled out by each patient and/or caregiver. Table 2 describes all subtests and questionnaires. Tests were administered by an experienced clinical linguist (DS). Tests and questionnaires were scored according to standardized scoring criteria. Individual patients' test scores were converted into z-scores using the mean and standard deviation of the matched normative data on that test. A z-score between -1.5 and -2.0 reflects a mild impairment, and a z-score of  $\leq -2.0$  reflects a severe impairment [21].

Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS (version 25). After testing for normal distribution, parametric and/or non-parametric tests were used. A one-sample t-test was used to compare patients to published normative data healthy controls. Statistically deviating test results were used in the following analyses. Independent samples t-tests were used for subgroup analysis on hemispheric location, tumor grade, and survival (under or above 12 years[9]) and to analyze differences in ratings between patients

**Table 1** Demographic and clinical characteristics; y = years; \* WHO classification 2016 [22]

	Value (%)
Sex: male/female	12 (57%) / 9 (43%)
Mean age in years (range)	51 (39–70 y)
Mean years of education (range)	15 (12–20 y)
Handedness: right/left	19 (90%) / 2 (10%)
Tumor location	
Left	8 (38%)
• Frontal	4 (19%)
• Temporal	1 (5%)
• Parieto-occipital	1 (5%)
• Occipital	2 (10%)
Right	12 (57%)
• Frontal	7 (33%)
• Parietal	1 (5%)
• Parieto-occipital	1 (5%)
• Temporoparietal	2 (10%)
• Hippocampal	1 (5%)
Multifocal	1 (5%)
Histology*	
WHO-grade III	8 (38%)
• Anaplastic astrocytoma	5 (24%)
• IDH mutant, MGMT methylated	2 (10%)
• Not specified	3 (14%)
• Anaplastic oligodendroglioma	3 (14%)
• IDH mutant, MGMT methylated	1 (5%)
• Not specified	2 (10%)
WHO-grade IV	13 (62%)
• Glioblastoma	12 (57%)
• IDH mutant, MGMT methylated	3 (14%)
• IDH mutant, MGMT wildtype	3 (14%)
• IDH wildtype, MGMT methylated	3 (14%)
• Not specified	3 (14%)
• Gliosarcoma	1 (5%)
Type of surgery:	
• Resection under general anesthesia	17 (81%)
• Awake resection	1 (5%)
• Biopsy	3 (14%)
Postoperative radiotherapy + temozolomide	21 (100%)
Mean survival in years at neuropsychological evaluation	
• Grade III (range)	12 (7–16y)
• Grade IV (range)	8 (5–20y)
Caregivers (n = 15)	
Sex: male/female	6 (40%) / 9 (60%)

and caregivers. Associations between cognitive tests and HRQoL (patient and caregiver reports) and caregiver burden were analyzed by Pearson correlations. The level of significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ .

## Results

### Cognitive tests

At group level, test scores in all cognitive domains were significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) lower in the long-term HGG survivors compared to normative data. Table 3 shows the results and p-values for each subtest. Three out of four subtests for verbal memory differed significantly compared to healthy controls, and all subtests for attention and executive functioning were substantially lower. For language, three out of eight subtests were significantly impaired. Subgroup analyses on hemispheric localization and tumor grade revealed no significant differences in any subtest. Patients with a 12-year or longer survival, performed significantly lower on a verbal memory test (HVL Delayed recall,  $p = 0.003$ ), but no other subtests differed significantly.

At the individual level, a large variety in individual cognitive performance was found. Fifteen out of 21 patients completed all subtests. Only one patient (5%) showed no cognitive impairments. All other patients were mildly impaired ( $z \leq 1.50$ ) on one to five subtests (mean 1.27, SD 1.33) and severely impaired ( $z \leq 2.00$ ) on one to eight subtests (mean 3.07, SD 2.49).

### Questionnaires

Patients' global health status (QLQ-C30) did not differ significantly from normative data ( $p > 0.05$ ). In contrast, all functional scales were substantially lower (see Table 4) than normative data ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). Survival, hemispheric localization, and tumor grade subgroup analyses revealed no significant differences between groups ( $p > 0.05$ ). Patient-proxy agreement was found in all subscales except emotional functioning ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). Patients reported a lower level of emotional functioning than their caregivers reported about the patient.

Emotional well-being was measured in patients and their caregivers. Three patients had a deviant score on the HADS. Two of them had high levels of symptoms of anxiety, and one had symptoms of depression. Five caregivers reported a high caregiver strain on the CSI. Four caregivers reported a high burden on the ZBI. Subgroup analysis on the sex of the caregiver showed no significant differences.

### Correlations

Table 5 presents the correlations between cognitive test scores and HRQoL-questionnaires. Significant correlations ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) were found between attention and executive functioning (TMT). In addition, perceived global health status (QLQ-C30) and cognitive functioning reported by both patient and caregiver (QLQ-C30) correlated significantly.

**Table 2** Administered cognitive tests and questionnaires

Cognitive tests	Verbal memory	Verbal learning, immediate and delayed recall and delayed recognition	Hopkins Verbal Learning Test (HVLТ): direct recall, delayed recall, recognition true positives, recognition false positives [3]	
	Attention and executive functioning	Visuomotor speed, (divided) attention, mental flexibility	Trail Making Test (TMT): A, B, B/A [38]	
	Language	Word retrieval		Boston Naming Test (BNT) [19]
		Incidence and severity of aphasia, language comprehension		Shortened Token Test (TT) [8]
		Phonology, semantic judgment + word retrieval, spontaneous speech in context		Diagnostic Instrument for Mild Aphasia (DIMA): repetition, semantic odd-picture out, sentence completion [32]
		Flexibility of semantic and phonological thought		Category fluency: animals, professions [23]
			Letter fluency [33]	
Questionnaires	Quality of Life (patient and caregiver)	Quality of life and general cancer symptoms	EORTC QLQ-C30 [39]	
		Quality of life and specific brain tumor symptoms	EORTC QLQ-BN20 [25]	
	Anxiety and depression (patient)	Anxiety and depression	Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) [44]	
	Caregiver strain and burden (caregiver)	Caregiver strain	Caregiver Strain Index (CSI) [28]	
Caregiver burden		Zarit Burden Interview (ZBI) [2]		

Category fluency is correlated with perceived cognitive functioning (QLQ-C30) when reported by the patient ( $r=0.487$ ) and is associated with communication deficits (QLQ-BN20) reported by both the patient ( $r=-0.540$ ) and caregiver ( $r=-0.596$ ). Verbal memory (HVLТ,  $r=-0.697$ ) and word finding (BNT,  $r=-0.565$ ) correlated with communication deficits reported by the caregiver. Caregiver burden (ZBI) is not associated ( $p>0.05$ ) with any of the cognitive subtests.

## Discussion

In this study, we found that the cognitive status of a cohort of 21 long-term HGG survivors was impaired in multiple cognitive domains. Despite this, global health status as measured by QLQ-C30 is intact. Patient-proxy agreement is found on most subscales in HRQoL questionnaires. An elevated caregiver burden was found in some caregivers but was not related to patients' cognitive status.

For the cognitive tests, we discovered that in almost all patients cognition was impaired in terms of verbal memory, attention, executive functioning and language. This is in line with Habets et al. [14]. Steinbach et al. [36] also reported attention problems in long-term HGG survivors. However, in their sample, verbal memory was preserved. At the individual level, 95% of our patients had mild or severe impairments in at least one subtest. Previous research on long-term HGG survivors found that 38–100% of patients had mild

to severe impairments [11, 14, 16, 36]. Differences may be explained by the quality of neurocognitive reports, that is, how cognition was measured (screening or test), by the definitions of the cognitive domains, and by the thresholds of the deviant scores [15].

For the HRQoL-questionnaires, we revealed that global health status was not deviant compared to healthy controls. Some earlier studies in long-term HGG survivors also reported unaffected quality of life [4, 11, 36], whereas others reported lower [14] and higher levels [27] in patients compared to healthy controls. Long-term HGG survivors coping with the side-effects of their treatment may re-evaluate their internal standards of HRQoL, which may explain the perceived good HRQoL [35]. In contrast to global health status, our patients rated reduced functioning on all subscales. In studies using the QLQ-C30, cognitive and social functioning was also significantly lower compared to controls [11, 14] apart from physical and role functioning. Patients in these studies had different diagnoses, which could have accounted for the differences in comparison to our patient group.

Except for emotional functioning, no differences in perceived HRQoL were found between HGG patients and their caregivers in our study. Literature on low-grade glioma describes both low agreement [10, 34] and moderate agreement [10, 13, 40]. Low agreement is explained by cognitive impairments because patients may be unaware of their cognitive deficits in everyday life [10]. Our patients had cognitive impairments, but despite this, no differences were found in most measurements.

**Table 3** Results of the cognitive tests on group level by cognitive domain; *n* = Number of patients who completed the test, as some tests were not completed in all patients due to fatigue or paresis (TMT) \* =  $p \leq 0.05$ , significantly lower compared to healthy controls

Domain	Test	<i>n</i>	Subtest	Mean (z-score)
Verbal memory	Hopkins Verbal Learning Test (HVLТ) [3]	19	Direct recall	-1.64*
			Delayed recall	-1.63*
			Recognition: true positives	-0.84*
			Recognition: false positives	-0.52
Attention and executive functioning	Trail Making Test (TMT) [38]	18	A	-1.40*
			B	-1.59*
			B/A	-0.98*
Language	Boston Naming Test (BNT) [19]	20		-1.37*
	Shortened Token Test (TT) [8]	20		-0.57
	Verbal Fluency [23, 33]	18	Category: Animals	-1.11*
			Category: Professions	-1.32*
			Letter	-0.43
	Diagnostic Instrument Mild Aphasia (DIMA) [32]	19	Repetition	-1.04
			Semantic out-picture-out	-0.62
Sentence completion			-0.18	

**Table 4** Subscales EORTC QLQ-C30 and QLQ-BN20 filled in by patient and caregiver; SD = standard deviation; \* =  $p \leq 0.05$ , significantly lower compared to healthy controls; \*\* =  $p \leq 0.05$ , significant difference between patient and caregiver report. For QLQ-BN20 Communication deficit no normative data are available

	Patient report		Caregiver report	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
QLQ-C30 Global health status	75.17	17.00	75.47	18.68
QLQ-C30 Physical functioning	76.23*	25.45	72.53	27.22
QLQ-C30 Role functioning	67.14*	29.29	58.93	32.62
QLQ-C30 Emotional functioning	86.23*	14.36	92.20**	9.76
QLQ-C30 Cognitive functioning	73.29*	21.07	75.47	18.68
QLQ-C30 Social functioning	70.49*	24.56	67.80	28.37
QLQ-BN20 Communication deficit	18.97	21.70	19.93	26.35

There were two patients who showed indications for anxiety and one patient who showed indications of signs of depression. In other studies on long-term survivors, percentages differ from 10–35 [11, 27, 36], however, the numbers of patients are very small. All are of limited sample size. It has been observed that caregivers recognize depression better than patients [5, 29], possibly causing an underscore. Despite these difficulties, measuring the signs of depression remains vital as it is related to shorter survival [24] and is a very relevant factor to quality of life.

Indications of high burden and caregiver strain were reported in one-third of our caregivers, which is in line with caregivers of long-term meningioma survivors [43]. In the

literature on caregivers of HGG patients, caregiver burden is only reported shortly after diagnosis, when it is extremely high [26, 31]. In this phase, the influence of patients' cognition on caregiver burden is unclear. Sterckx et al. [37] describe in their systematic review cognitive deficits as the most significant challenge for caregivers to deal with. However, most of their included studies did not measure caregiver burden with standardized measurements. In our sample, caregiver burden cannot be explained by the patient's cognitive functioning.

Although several studies among HGG patients, such as Wefel et al. [42], have reported on both neurocognitive symptoms and HRQoL, our study is the first to correlate the results of cognitive tests to perceived HRQoL. In meningioma and low-grade glioma the association between perceived executive functioning and the outcome of cognitive tests remains unclear [40]. In our study, attention and executive functioning (TMT) and language (Category fluency) were found to be related to perceived global health status (QLQ-C30), cognitive functioning (QLQ-C30), and communication deficit (QLQ-BN20), indicating that the test used could objectify perceived cognitive functioning and language or communication deficits.

Limitations in our study are due to a small sample size dictated by the scarcity of long-term survival in HGG. Furthermore, not all patients could complete all subtests, and not all their caregivers could be included. The continuation of data collection among long-term survivors and their caregivers is therefore of utmost importance in order to draw more solid conclusions.

Future research, including a baseline examination is needed to assess the agreement in patient and caregiver ratings and to determine which factors influence caregiver burden in caregivers of brain tumor patients in general and in long-term

**Table 5** Correlations between cognitive tests and questionnaires. QL2 = Global health status, CF = cognitive functioning, CD = communication deficit, ZBI = Zarit Burden Interview, HVLT = Hopkins Verbal Learning Test, TMT = Trail Making Test, BNT = Boston Naming Test. \*\* = correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed), \* = correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)

	HRQoL patient report						HRQoL caregiver report						Burden (caregiver)				
	QLQ-C30 QL2			QLQ-C30 CF			QLQ-BN20 CD			QLQ-C30 QL2			QLQ-C30 CF			ZBI	
	r	p	R	r	p	P	r	p	p	r	p	p	r	p	r	p	
HVLT Direct recall	0.129	.609	0.177	.482	-0.207	.409	0.018	.952	0.018	.952	-0.498	.083	0.056	.856	0.056	.856	
HVLT Delayed recall	0.275	.286	-0.028	.914	-0.256	.322	0.228	.476	0.228	.476	-0.697*	.012*	-0.167	.604	-0.167	.604	
HVLT Recognition: true positives	0.212	.414	0.018	.946	-0.015	.955	0.149	.645	0.149	.645	-0.471	.122	-0.299	.344	-0.299	.344	
TMT A	0.623	.006**	0.622	.006**	-0.345	.160	0.319	.311	0.319	.311	0.134	.677	-0.319	.313	-0.319	.313	
TMT B	0.585	.011*	0.452	.060	-0.290	.244	0.602	.038*	0.602	.038*	-0.228	.476	-0.512	.089	-0.512	.089	
TMT B/A	0.367	.134	0.145	.566	-0.192	.446	0.621	.031*	0.621	.031*	-0.372	.234	-0.505	.094	-0.505	.094	
BNT	-0.071	.773	0.445	.056	-0.391	.098	-0.202	.471	-0.202	.471	-0.565	.028*	0.335	.241	0.335	.241	
Category fluency: animals	0.156	.551	0.487	.048*	-0.540	.025*	0.443	.129	0.443	.129	-0.596	.032*	-0.363	.246	-0.363	.246	
Category fluency: professions	-0.094	.721	0.067	.797	-0.325	.203	-0.125	.683	-0.125	.683	-0.281	.352	0.150	.641	0.150	.641	

survivors. Furthermore, care and research is to focus both on impairments and on activity limitations and participation restrictions. In this way, the needs for rehabilitation and support for the patient and caregiver can be identified and addressed, with cognitive rehabilitation and family-centered care becoming part of the future standard of care for long-term survivors. Furthermore, future neuro-oncological therapies are to focus on survival as well as cognition, with HRQOL being one of the primary outcome measures.

### Conclusion

Long-term HGG survivors have impaired cognition in multiple cognitive domains at the group level, with a wide range at the individual level. However, global health status is intact despite lower functional scales. Patient-proxy agreement was found in most HRQoL subscales. In long-term HGG survivors, we strongly recommend a patient-proxy tailored approach using both cognitive tests and HRQoL questionnaires to investigate individual cognitive impairments, quality of life, and caregiver strain and burden.

**Author contributions** Jochem Spoor, Sieger Leenstra, Djaina Satoer and Martin van den Bent contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by Jochem Spoor, Marike Donders-Kamphuis, Wencke Veenstra, Sarah van Dijk and Djaina Satoer. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Jochem Spoor, Marike Donders-Kamphuis and Djaina Satoer and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Funding** Marike Donders-Kamphuis was funded by a grant from the Research Fund of HMC (Wetenschapsbeurs 2021).

**Data availability** Data are available at request.

### Declarations

**Competing interests** No competing interests.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

## References

- Au TH, Willis C, Reblin M, Peters KB, Nghiemphu PL, Taylor JW, Colman H, Cohen AL, Ormond DR, Chakravarti A et al (2022) Caregiver burden by treatment and clinical characteristics of patients with glioblastoma. *Support Care Cancer* 30:1365–1375. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-021-06514-0>
- Bedard M, Molloy DW, Squire L, Dubois S, Lever JA, O'Donnell M (2001) The Zarit Burden Interview: a new short version and screening version. *Gerontologist* 41:652–657. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/41.5.652>
- Benedict RHB, Schretlen D, Groninger L, Brandt J (1998) Hopkins Verbal Learning Test–Revised: Normative data and analysis of inter-form and test-retest reliability. *Clin Neuropsychol* 12:43–55
- Bosma I, Reijneveld JC, Douw L, Vos MJ, Postma TJ, Aaronson NK, Muller M, Vandertop WP, Slotman BJ, Taphoorn MJ et al (2009) Health-related quality of life of long-term high-grade glioma survivors. *Neuro Oncol* 11:51–58. <https://doi.org/10.1215/15228517-2008-049>
- Brown PD, Decker PA, Rummans TA, Clark MM, Frost MH, Ballman KV, Arusell RM, Buckner JC (2008) A prospective study of quality of life in adults with newly diagnosed high-grade gliomas: comparison of patient and caregiver ratings of quality of life. *Am J Clin Oncol* 31:163–168. <https://doi.org/10.1097/COC.0b013e318149f1d3>
- Caramanna I, Klein M, van den Bent M, Idbaih A, Wick W, Taphoorn MJB, Dirven L, Bottomley A, Reijneveld JC, Group EQoL et al (2022) Neurocognitive impairment and patient-proxy agreement on health-related quality of life evaluations in recurrent high-grade glioma patients. *Qual Life Res* 31:3253–3266. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-022-03197-w>
- Correa DD (2010) Neurocognitive function in brain tumors. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep* 10:232–239. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11910-010-0108-4>
- De Renzi E, Faglioni P (1978) Normative data and screening power of a shortened version of the Token Test. *Cortex* 14:41–49. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0010-9452\(78\)80006-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0010-9452(78)80006-9)
- Douw L, Klein M, Fagel SS, van den Heuvel J, Taphoorn MJ, Aaronson NK, Postma TJ, Vandertop WP, Mooij JJ, Boerman RH et al (2009) Cognitive and radiological effects of radiotherapy in patients with low-grade glioma: long-term follow-up. *Lancet Neurol* 8:810–818
- Ediebah DE, Reijneveld JC, Taphoorn MJ, Coens C, Zikos E, Aaronson NK, Heimans JJ, Bottomley A, Klein M, Department EQoL et al (2017) Impact of neurocognitive deficits on patient-proxy agreement regarding health-related quality of life in low-grade glioma patients. *Qual Life Res* 26:869–880
- Flechl B, Ackerl M, Sax C, Dieckmann K, Crevenna R, Gaiger A, Widhalm G, Preusser M, Marosi C (2012) Neurocognitive and sociodemographic functioning of glioblastoma long-term survivors. *J Neurooncol* 109:331–339
- Gehring K, Taphoorn MJ, Sitskoorn MM, Aaronson NK (2015) Predictors of subjective versus objective cognitive functioning in patients with stable grades II and III glioma. *Neurooncol Pract* 2:20–31
- Giesinger JM, Golser M, Erharter A, Kemmler G, Schauer-Maurer G, Stockhammer G, Muigg A, Hutterer M, Rumpold G, Holzner B (2009) Do neurooncological patients and their significant others agree on quality of life ratings? *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 7:87
- Habets EJ, Taphoorn MJ, Nederend S, Klein M, Delgado D, Hoang-Xuan K, Bottomley A, Allgeier A, Seute T, Gijtenbeek AM et al (2014) Health-related quality of life and cognitive functioning in long-term anaplastic oligodendroglioma and oligoastrocytoma survivors. *J Neurooncol* 116:161–168
- Habets EJJ, Taphoorn MJB, Klein M, Vissers T, Dirven L (2018) The level of reporting of neurocognitive outcomes in randomised controlled trials of brain tumour patients: A systematic review. *Eur J Cancer* 100:104–125
- Hottinger AF, Yoon H, DeAngelis LM, Abrey LE (2009) Neurological outcome of long-term glioblastoma survivors. *J Neurooncol* 95:301–305
- Jacobs DI, Kumthekar P, Stell BV, Grimm SA, Rademaker AW, Rice L, Chandler JP, Muro K, Marymont M, Helenowski IB et al (2014) Concordance of patient and caregiver reports in evaluating quality of life in patients with malignant gliomas and an assessment of caregiver burden. *Neurooncol Pract* 1:47–54
- Johnson DR, Wefel JS (2013) Relationship between cognitive function and prognosis in glioblastoma. *CNS Oncol* 2:195–201
- Kaplan E, Goodglass H, Weintraub S (2001) Boston naming test, 2nd edn. Pro-Ed
- Klein M, Duffau H, De Witt Hamer PC (2012) Cognition and resective surgery for diffuse infiltrative glioma: an overview. *J Neurooncol* 108:309–318
- Lezak MD, Howieson DB, Loring DW, Fischer JS (2004) *Neuropsychological assessment*. Oxford University Press, USA
- Louis DN, Perry A, Reifenger G, Von Deimling A, Figarella-Branger D, Cavenee WK, Ohgaki H, Wiestler OD, Kleihues P, Ellison DW (2016) The 2016 World Health Organization classification of tumors of the central nervous system: a summary. *Acta Neuropathol* 131:803–820
- Luteijn F, Barelds DPH (2004) GIT2: groningen intelligente test 2. Harcourt Test Publishers, City
- Noll KR, Sullaway CM, Wefel JS (2019) Depressive symptoms and executive function in relation to survival in patients with glioblastoma. *J Neurooncol* 142:183–191
- Osoba D, Aaronson NK, Muller M, Sneeuw K, Hsu MA, Yung WK, Brada M, Newlands E (1996) The development and psychometric validation of a brain cancer quality-of-life questionnaire for use in combination with general cancer-specific questionnaires. *Qual Life Res* 5:139–150
- Piil K, Laegaard Skovhus S, Tolver A, Jarden M (2021) Neurooncological symptoms: a longitudinal quantitative study of family function, perceived support, and caregiver burden. *J Fam Nurs* 28(1):43–56
- Piil K, Christensen IJ, Grunnet K, Poulsen HS (2019) Health-related quality of life and caregiver perspectives in glioblastoma survivors: a mixed-methods study. *BMJ Support Palliat Care* 12(e6):e846–e854
- Robinson BC (1983) Validation of a Caregiver Strain Index. *J Gerontol* 38:344–348
- Rooney AG, McNamara S, Mackinnon M, Fraser M, Rampling R, Carson A, Grant R (2013) Screening for major depressive disorder in adults with glioma using the PHQ-9: a comparison of patient versus proxy reports. *J Neurooncol* 113:49–55
- Russell B, Collins A, Dowling A, Dally M, Gold M, Murphy M, Burchell J, Philip J (2016) Predicting distress among people who care for patients living longer with high-grade malignant glioma. *Support Care Cancer* 24:43–51
- Sacher M, Meixensberger J, Krupp W (2018) Interaction of quality of life, mood and depression of patients and their informal caregivers after surgical treatment of high-grade glioma: a prospective study. *J Neurooncol* 140:367–375
- Satoer D, De Witte E, Bulte B, Bastiaanse R, Smits M, Vincent A, Marien P, Visch-Brink E (2022) Dutch Diagnostic Instrument for Mild Aphasia (DIMA): standardisation and a first clinical application in two brain tumour patients. *Clin Linguist Phon* 36(11):929–953. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02699206.2021.1992797>



33. Schmand B, Groenink SC, van den Dungen M (2008) [Letter fluency: psychometric properties and Dutch normative data] Letter-fluency: psychometrische eigenschappen en Nederlandse normen. *Tijdschr Gerontol Geriatr* 39:64–76. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03078128>
34. Sneeuw KC, Aaronson NK, Osoba D, Muller MJ, Hsu MA, Yung WK, Brada M, Newlands ES (1997) The use of significant others as proxy raters of the quality of life of patients with brain cancer. *Med Care* 35:490–506
35. Sprangers MA, Schwartz CE (1999) Integrating response shift into health-related quality of life research: a theoretical model. *Soc Sci Med* 48:1507–1515
36. Steinbach JP, Blaicher HP, Herrlinger U, Wick W, Nägele T, Meyerermann R, Tatagiba M, Bamberg M, Dichgans J, Karnath HO et al (2006) Surviving glioblastoma for more than 5 years: the patient's perspective. *Neurology* 66:239–242
37. Sterckx W, Coolbrandt A, Dierckx de Casterlé B, Van den Heede K, Decruyenaere M, Borgenon S, Mees A, Clement P (2013) The impact of a high-grade glioma on everyday life: a systematic review from the patient's and caregiver's perspective. *Eur J Oncol Nurs* 17:107–117
38. Tombaugh TN (2004) Trail Making Test A and B: normative data stratified by age and education. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol* 19:203–214. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0887-6177\(03\)00039-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0887-6177(03)00039-8)
39. van de Poll-Franse LV, Mols F, Gundy CM, Creutzberg CL, Nout RA, Verdonck-de Leeuw IM, Taphoorn MJ, Aaronson NK (2011) Normative data for the EORTC QLQ-C30 and EORTC-sexuality items in the general Dutch population. *Eur J Cancer* 47:667–675
40. van der Linden SD, Gehring K, De Baene W, Emons WHM, Rutten GM, Sitskoorn MM (2020) Assessment of Executive Functioning in Patients with Meningioma and Low-Grade Glioma: A Comparison of Self-Report, Proxy-Report, and Test Performance. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 26:187–196. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355617719001164>
41. van Kessel E, HuengesWajer IMC, Ruis C, Seute T, Fonville S, De Vos F, Verhoeff JJC, Robe PA, van Zandvoort MJE, Snijders TJ (2021) Cognitive impairments are independently associated with shorter survival in diffuse glioma patients. *J Neurol* 268:1434–1442. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-020-10303-w>
42. Wefel JS, Armstrong TS, Pugh SL, Gilbert MR, Wendland MM, Brachman DG, Roof KS, Brown PD, Crocker IR, Robins HI et al (2021) Neurocognitive, symptom, and health-related quality of life outcomes of a randomized trial of bevacizumab for newly diagnosed glioblastoma (NRG/RTOG 0825). *Neuro Oncol* 23:1125–1138
43. ZamanipoorNajafabadi AH, van der Meer PB, Boele FW, Taphoorn MJB, Klein M, Peerdeman SM, van Furth WR, Dirven L, Dutch Meningioma C (2021) The long-term caregiver burden in World Health Organization grade I and II meningioma: It is not just the patient. *Neurooncol Adv* 3:vdaa169
44. Zigmund AS, Snaith RP (1983) The hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 67:361–370

### Comments

In this cross-sectional study the authors aimed to investigate the cognitive status of high-grade glioma (HGG) long term survivors and analyse the impact of their cognitive functioning on the perceived health-related quality of life (HRQoL) of the patient and the caregiver's strain and burden. The HGG long-term survivors were recruited from a departmental database. 21 patients and 15 caregivers were included. Tests measuring verbal memory, attention, executive function and aspects of language function were administered. In addition HRQoL, anxiety and depression as well as caregiver's strain and burden were evaluated by questionnaires and completed by the patients respectively their caregivers. On group level the performance in all cognitive domains of the HGG long term survivors were significantly lower than in a healthy reference group. The patients general self-reported HRQoL was not low but all subscales showed deviant scores. There was a patient-proxy agreement in most of the HRQoL subscales except regarding emotional functioning. Thus the patients reported a lower emotional functioning than their caregivers rated their functioning level. Among the caregivers 33% reported a high caregiver strain or burden. There was no association between the caregiver's reported burden and the patients cognitive dysfunction. This study adds to knowledge since the impact of the long term survivors cognitive functioning on the caregiver's strain and burden is rarely described in the literature.

Åsa Bergendal  
Stockholm, Sweden

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.