

EUR Research Information Portal

The Intertwinement of Legal Orders: a Critical Reconstruction of Theories of Jurisprudence

Publication status and date:

Published: 24/01/2019

Document Version

Other version

Citation for the published version (APA):

Riesthuis, TE. (2019). *The Intertwinement of Legal Orders: a Critical Reconstruction of Theories of Jurisprudence*. [Doctoral Thesis, Erasmus University Rotterdam]. Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam (EUR).

[Link to publication on the EUR Research Information Portal](#)

Terms and Conditions of Use

Except as permitted by the applicable copyright law, you may not reproduce or make this material available to any third party without the prior written permission from the copyright holder(s). Copyright law allows the following uses of this material without prior permission:

- you may download, save and print a copy of this material for your personal use only;
- you may share the EUR portal link to this material.

In case the material is published with an open access license (e.g. a Creative Commons (CC) license), other uses may be allowed. Please check the terms and conditions of the specific license.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this material infringes your copyright and/or any other intellectual property rights, you may request its removal by contacting us at the following email address: openaccess.library@eur.nl. Please provide us with all the relevant information, including the reasons why you believe any of your rights have been infringed. In case of a legitimate complaint, we will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website.

Propositions

The Intertwinement of Legal Orders *A Critical Reconstruction of Theories of Jurisprudence*

Thomas Riesthuis

1. The intertwinement of legal orders highlights that legal orders are relatively autonomous.
2. Legal theories should make sense of the interconnections and frictions between legal orders.
3. The method of reflective equilibrium may be used to critically reconstruct a more adequate account of the intertwinement of legal orders.
4. The justificatory and interactional dimensions of the notion of legal validity reveal that the validity of a legal norm is inherently contestable.
5. A content-dependent account of legal authority provides a more convincing explanation of the relations between officials of different legal orders.
6. The complexity of Niklas Luhmann's social systems account of law outweighs its explanatory force.
7. The internal/external distinction more often creates conceptual confusion than clarity in debates on the methodology of legal scholarship.
8. The methodological turn in jurisprudence has not lead to a fruitful exchange of arguments between legal philosophers.
9. An institutional theory of the rule of law may explain a system of checks and balances across national and international legal orders.
10. The essentially contested nature of the concept of law should not lead to scepticism about the enterprise of jurisprudence.
11. There is an insightful parallel between musical improvisation and academic research: in both activities do you aim to critically develop your intuitions as you go along.