

# Longitudinal Follow-Up of Bone Density and Body Composition in Children with Precocious or Early Puberty before, during and after Cessation of GnRH Agonist Therapy

INGE M. VAN DER SLUIS, ANNEMIEKE M. BOOT, ERIC P. KRENNING, STENVERT L. S. DROP, AND SABINE M. P. F. DE MUINCK KEIZER-SHRAMA

Department of Pediatrics (I.M.v.d.S., A.M.B., S.L.S.D., S.M.P.F.d.M.K.-S.), Subdivision of Endocrinology, Sophia Children's Hospital, 3015 GJ Rotterdam, The Netherlands; and Departments of Radiology (I.M.v.d.S.) and Nuclear Medicine (E.P.K.), Dijkzigt Hospital, Erasmus University, 3015 GD Rotterdam, The Netherlands

We studied bone mineral density (BMD), bone metabolism, and body composition in 47 children with central precocious puberty (n = 36) or early puberty (n = 11) before, during, and after cessation of GnRH agonist. Bone density and body composition were measured with dual energy x-ray absorptiometry and expressed as SD scores. Bone age and biochemical parameters of bone turnover were assessed. Measurements were performed at baseline, after 6 months, and on a yearly basis thereafter.

Mean lumbar spine BMD SD scores for chronological age were significantly higher than zero at baseline and decreased during treatment. Lumbar spine bone mineral apparent density and total body BMD did not differ from normal at baseline and showed no significant changes during treatment. In con-

trast, BMD SD scores for bone age were significantly lower than zero at baseline and at cessation of therapy. Two years after therapy, bone mineral apparent density and BMD SD scores for bone age and chronological age did not differ from normal. Markers of bone turnover decreased during treatment, mainly in the first 6 months. Patients had increased percentage of fat and lean body mass at baseline. After an initial increase of percentage body fat during treatment, percentage body fat decreased and normalized within 1 yr after cessation of treatment.

Our longitudinal analysis suggests that peak bone mass or body composition will not be impaired in patients with precocious or early puberty after GnRH agonist therapy. (*J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 87: 506–512, 2002)

PUBERTY IS CONSIDERED to be a crucial period for bone mass acquisition (1). Therefore, it is important to know whether children with a disorder in pubertal development will achieve an adequate peak bone mass (PBM).

In central precocious puberty (CPP), the hypothalamus-pituitary-gonadal axis is activated before the age of 8 yr in girls and before the age of 9 in boys. Treatment is based on administration of GnRH agonist (GnRH-a), which inhibits pituitary gonadotrophin secretion resulting in a decrease of sex steroid levels (2). Estrogen deprivation, for instance after ovariectomy or natural menopause, is associated with significant bone loss in adult women (3). A significant decrease in bone density during GnRH-a therapy in women with endometriosis and in men with benign prostatic hyperplasia has been reported (4, 5). Thus, reducing sex steroid levels in CPP could have detrimental effects on bone density, and the achievement of PBM particularly could be impaired.

Besides putative negative effects of GnRH-a on bone mass acquisition, concern has been raised that children with CPP are prone to development of adiposity (6, 7).

Abbreviations: ALP, Alkaline phosphatase; BMAD, bone mineral apparent density; BMD, bone mineral density; BMI, body mass index; Ca, calcium; CPP, central precocious puberty; Cr, creatinine; DEXA, dual energy x-ray absorptiometry; GnRH-a, GnRH agonist; ICTP, carboxy-terminal telopeptide of type I collagen; LBM, lean body mass; LS, lumbar spine; OHP, hydroxyproline; PBM, peak bone mass; PICP, procollagen type I C-terminal propeptide; TB, total body.

The aim of the present study was to evaluate longitudinally bone mineral density (BMD), bone metabolism, and body composition in a large group of children with precocious or early puberty before, during, and after cessation of GnRH-a treatment. Preliminary results were presented previously (8).

## Subjects and Methods

Forty-seven patients (5 boys and 42 girls) were enrolled in the study. The mean age at start of GnRH-a treatment was 8.3 yr (range, 2.8–11.4 yr). At diagnosis, all patients had a history of increased growth velocity, girls had breast stage at least 2, boys had genital stage at least 2 and testes volume at least 4 ml, bone age was advanced more than 1 yr, and a GnRH-stimulated serum LH concentration was greater than 10 IU/liter. Thirty-one children had idiopathic CPP with start of puberty before the age of 9 yr for boys and before the age of 8 yr for girls. Five children had organic CPP (two meningomyelocoele, one hydrocephalus, one optic glioma, and one craniopharyngeoma). Idiopathic early puberty was found in 11 children, defined as appearance of pubertal signs between 8–10 yr of age for girls and between 9–11 yr of age for boys.

All patients were treated with depot leuprolide-acetate 3.75 mg (Luprin Depot, Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL) given sc every 2 wk in the first month and every 4 wk thereafter.

Pubertal suppression was evaluated by clinical evaluation, by repeating GnRH stimulation test after 3 months, by measuring basal serum levels of LH, FSH, and E2 or T levels every 6 months of treatment. All children had complete suppression of LH and FSH during the GnRH test after 3 months of treatment (levels <5 IU/liter). All patients, except one boy and one girl, had complete suppression during therapy, with prepubertal basal sex steroid concentrations (E2 <50 pmol/liter; T <1

nmol/liter). In the boy and girl with incomplete suppression, GnRH-a dose was doubled, which resulted in complete suppression.

Anthropometry, assessment of biochemical parameters of bone turnover, and BMD and body composition measurements were performed at baseline, after 6 months and 1 yr, and then yearly. All assessments were continued after discontinuation of treatment on a yearly basis. Forty patients completed treatment, of whom 38 agreed to continue participation. Twenty patients (2 boys and 18 girls; 15 CPP and 5 early puberty) had complete follow-up measurements from start of GnRH-a therapy until 2 yr after cessation of treatment. This subgroup will be described separately.

Height was measured with a Harpenden stadiometer and expressed as SD score (9). Pubertal stage was assessed according to Tanner (10). Bone age was scored by one investigator using an x-ray of the left hand according to the Greulich and Pyle method (11).

### Bone density and body composition

BMD of the lumbar spine (LS) and total body (TB) was measured by dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) (DPXL/PED, Lunar Corp., Madison, WI). The LS is mainly composed of trabecular bone, whereas 80% of the TB bone consists of cortical bone (12). To correct for bone size, we calculated bone mineral apparent density (BMAD) of LS with the model  $BMAD_{LS} = BMD_{LS} \times [4/(\pi \times \text{width})]$ . This model has been validated by *in vivo* volumetric data obtained from magnetic resonance imaging of lumbar vertebrae (13). All children were measured by the same apparatus. Quality assurance was performed daily. The coefficient of variation has been reported to be 1.04% for spine BMD and 0.64% for  $BMD_{TB}$  (14). Body composition was measured by TB DEXA. BMD, bone mineral content, lean tissue mass, and percentage body fat were compared with our Dutch age- and sex-matched reference values ( $n = 500$ ; age, 4–20 yr) (15, 16). One girl was too young (2.8 yr) to compare her DEXA results with our normative data. Her data could be used to calculate bone age-adjusted SD scores. In two children, baseline DEXA measurement was not performed due to logistic reasons.

### Biochemical parameters

Blood samples were obtained for the assessment of calcium (Ca), anorganic phosphate, and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D. Furthermore, al-

kaline phosphatase (ALP) and procollagen type I C-terminal propeptide (PICP) were assessed as markers of bone formation, whereas carboxy-terminal telopeptide of type I collagen (ICTP) and urinary hydroxyproline (OHP) concentration were measured as markers of bone resorption. 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D was measured by RIA of Immuno Diagnostics Systems (Baldon, UK). RIA kits (Orion Diagnostica, Espoo, Finland) were used for measurement of PICP and ICTP. ALP, phosphate, PICP, and ICTP were expressed as sex- and age-matched SD scores using our own reference values. E2 and T were assessed by RIA (Orion Diagnostica); LH and FSH by RIA (MedGenix Diagnostica, Fleurus, Belgium). The Ca/creatinine (Cr) ratio and OHP concentration, expressed as mmol/mol Cr (OHP/Cr), were assessed in the first morning void of urine. Written informed consent was obtained from the parents of the patients.

### Statistical analysis

One-sample *t* tests were used to compare the mean SD scores with the expected zero, which is the mean SD score of age- and sex-matched healthy controls. The within-patient change was tested using a paired *t* test. To test differences between patients with early puberty and precocious puberty, Mann-Whitney *U* tests were used. Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to test the association between two variables with a normal distribution, and Spearman's correlation was used in case of a skewed distribution. In view of multiple tests, the significance level was set at  $P = 0.01$ .

## Results

### Bone density and body composition before treatment

Clinical baseline characteristics are reported in Table 1. The results of BMD and body composition before and during treatment for all patients are shown in Table 2. At baseline, mean  $BMD_{LS}$  SD score was significantly higher than zero.  $BMAD_{LS}$  and  $BMD_{TB}$  SD scores were above normal, but this did not reach significance. However, after correction for bone age instead of chronological age,  $BMD_{LS}$  and  $BMD_{TB}$  SD scores corrected for bone age were significantly lower than zero.  $BMAD_{LS}$  SD score corrected for bone age was normal.

Height, bone mineral content, percentage fat, lean body mass (LBM), and body mass index (BMI) SD scores (all SD scores for chronological age) were significantly increased at baseline.

Forty patients completed treatment; their mean treatment period was 2.7 yr (range, 1.4–5.4 yr). After an initial increase,

**TABLE 1.** Clinical characteristics at baseline<sup>a</sup>

	Boys	Girls
No.	5	42
Age (yr)	9.0 (4.7 – 11.4)	8.2 (2.8 – 10.8)
Bone age (yr)	11.9 (9.2 – 14.5)	10.7 (4.3 – 13.3)
Height SD score	0.39 (–1.96 – +2.09)	0.80 (–1.77 – +4.71)
BMI SD score	0.63 (–0.47 – +2.79)	1.17 (–0.76 – +2.80)

<sup>a</sup> Mean (range).

**TABLE 2.** Mean height, BMD, and body composition expressed as SD scores (SEM) in children with precocious puberty at baseline and during GnRH-a treatment

Time	Baseline	0.5 yr	1 yr	2 yr	3 yr
No.	44	45	45	39	24
SD score for chronological age					
$BMD_{LS}$	0.67 (0.18) <sup>b</sup>	0.83 (0.16) <sup>b,1</sup>	0.65 (0.17) <sup>b</sup>	0.48 (0.18)	0.39 (0.25)
$BMAD_{LS}$	0.36 (0.18)	0.50 (0.16) <sup>a</sup>	0.41 (0.17)	0.38 (0.18)	0.05 (0.22)
$BMD_{TB}$	0.19 (0.19)	0.39 (0.19)	0.50 (0.17) <sup>a,1</sup>	0.38 (0.19)	0.31 (0.27)
SD score for bone age					
$BMD_{LS}$	–0.54 (0.15) <sup>b</sup>		–0.57 (0.14) <sup>b</sup>	–0.36 (0.18)	–0.29 (0.22)
$BMAD_{LS}$	–0.15 (0.18)		–0.20 (0.17)	–0.06 (0.19)	–0.29 (0.25)
$BMD_{TB}$	–0.85 (0.15) <sup>b</sup>		–0.64 (0.14) <sup>b,2</sup>	–0.40 (0.17) <sup>2</sup>	–0.39 (0.24) <sup>1</sup>
SD score for chronological age					
LBM	0.92 (0.21) <sup>b</sup>	0.79 (0.20) <sup>b,1</sup>	0.71 (0.20) <sup>b,1</sup>	0.49 (0.25) <sup>2</sup>	0.31 (0.33) <sup>1</sup>
Percentage body fat	0.47 (0.18) <sup>a</sup>	0.98 (0.18) <sup>b,2</sup>	1.28 (0.18) <sup>b,2</sup>	1.30 (0.21) <sup>b,2</sup>	1.10 (0.26) <sup>b,2</sup>
Height	0.75 (0.20) <sup>b</sup>	0.65 (0.22) <sup>a</sup>	0.55 (0.22) <sup>1</sup>	0.53 (0.23) <sup>1</sup>	0.18 (0.37) <sup>2</sup>
BMI	1.11 (0.13) <sup>b</sup>	1.24 (0.13) <sup>b</sup>	1.46 (0.13) <sup>b,2</sup>	1.47 (0.17) <sup>b,2</sup>	1.22 (0.21) <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>  $P < 0.01$ , <sup>b</sup>  $P < 0.001$  for the comparison of the mean SD score with zero.

<sup>1</sup>  $P < 0.01$ , <sup>2</sup>  $P < 0.001$  compared with baseline.

BMD<sub>LS</sub> and BMD<sub>TB</sub>, corrected for chronological age, tended to decrease (Table 2). BMAD<sub>LS</sub> showed the same trend, but these changes were not significant. However, when adjusted for bone age, BMD<sub>TB</sub> SD scores for bone age increased significantly during treatment. As for chronological age, no significant changes in BMAD<sub>LS</sub> corrected for bone age were found during treatment. BMD<sub>LS</sub> SD scores for bone age also did not change during treatment.

Percentage body fat SD scores increased, and LBM SD scores decreased significantly (Table 2). Height SD scores decreased; after 1 yr of treatment height SD scores did not differ significantly from zero any more. BMI SD scores increased significantly during treatment.

*Results of 20 patients followed from start to 2 yr after cessation of therapy*

Figure 1 shows bone density and body composition before, at cessation of GnRH-a treatment, and 2 yr after cessation of treatment in 20 patients who were followed for this entire period. For this particular subgroup, mean (SD) age and bone age at start was 8.7 (1.1) and 11.3 (1.24) yr, respectively. Mean (SD) age at cessation of therapy was 11.3 (0.8) yr. Mean (SD) bone age was 12.4 (0.7) yr at cessation of therapy, and 14.6 (1.0) yr at 2 yr after cessation of therapy. Mean treatment period for this subgroup was 2.6 yr (range, 1.4–4.1 yr).

At baseline, BMD<sub>LS</sub> SD score adjusted for chronological age was 0.54 (SD = 1.15; *P* = 0.06). BMD<sub>LS</sub> SD scores for chro-




FIG. 1. BMD adjusted for chronological age (top) and bone age (bottom) before start, at cessation, and after cessation of GnRH-a therapy in children with precocious and early puberty [mean (SEM)]. <sup>a</sup> *P* < 0.01, <sup>b</sup> *P* < 0.001 for the comparison of the mean SD score with zero; \*, *P* < 0.01, \*\*, *P* < 0.001 compared to stop.

nological age decreased significantly during treatment, whereas  $BMD_{TB}$  and  $BMAD_{LS}$   $SD$  scores showed no significant change. After cessation of therapy, no significant changes in bone density were found (Fig. 1, top).

After correction for bone age (Fig. 1, bottom), only  $BMD_{TB}$  showed a significant increase, whereas  $BMAD_{LS}$  and  $BMD_{LS}$  did not change during treatment.  $BMD_{LS}$  and  $BMD_{TB}$  remained significantly lower than zero at cessation of treatment. After cessation of GnRH-a therapy, all three bone density parameters slightly increased compared with stop of therapy. After 2 yr, none of the bone density parameters differed from zero any more.

The mean absolute change between start and cessation of GnRH-a therapy was  $0.09 \text{ g/cm}^2$  for  $BMD_{LS}$  and  $BMD_{TB}$  and  $0.01 \text{ g/cm}^3$  for BMAD. None of the children had an absolute decrease in BMD during therapy. Most children showed an absolute increase in BMAD as well, but in three children a decrease in BMAD ( $0.01\text{--}0.02 \text{ g/cm}^3$ ) was found. In the 2 yr after cessation of therapy, BMD and BMAD showed an absolute increase in all children.

LBM decreased significantly during treatment, and percentage body fat increased (Fig. 2). After cessation of treatment, LBM and height showed a further decrease, whereas percentage body fat decreased to pretreatment values. Although BMI decreased significantly after treatment was stopped, it remained significantly higher than zero after treatment. Two years after cessation of therapy, LBM, percentage body fat, and height  $SD$  scores did not differ significantly from zero.

#### Biochemical parameters

Table 3 shows the results of biochemical parameters at baseline, during treatment, and after cessation of treatment. Only baseline and 6-month data are given, because the substantial changes were found in this period. During GnRH treatment, serum Ca was normal and remained stable. At baseline, ICTP  $SD$  score was significantly higher than zero. PICP  $SD$  score was also increased, but in a lesser degree ( $P =$

0.03). Mean phosphate  $SD$  scores, ALP  $SD$  scores, 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D, and Ca/Cr ratio were normal. Urine OHP concentration was in the high reference range. ALP, ICTP, and PICP  $SD$  scores and urine Ca/Cr ratio decreased significantly during treatment, mainly in the first 6 months, and stabilized thereafter. Phosphate  $SD$  scores showed a slight decrease. Urinary OHP concentration decreased significantly during treatment.

At cessation of therapy, all serum markers, except Ca and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D, were decreased compared with baseline. Phosphate, PICP, and ALP  $SD$  scores were significantly lower than zero at cessation of treatment.

After cessation of therapy, ALP, PICP, and ICTP increased with a subsequent decrease. Two years after treatment, ALP and PICP  $SD$  scores for chronological age were significantly lower than zero. After correction for bone age, however, normal  $SD$  scores were found. Urine OHP concentration showed an ongoing decrease, whereas urine Ca/Cr ratio did not change.

#### Precocious puberty vs. early puberty

The mean treatment period was 2.8 yr in the CPP patients and 2.2 yr in patients with early puberty. Patients with CPP had higher height and LBM  $SD$  scores than children with early puberty at baseline and at cessation of treatment. No differences in BMI and percentage body fat were found. At baseline, BMD and BMAD for chronological age and bone age did not differ.  $BMD_{TB}$  and  $BMD_{LS}$  for chronological age were significantly higher in the CPP group at cessation of therapy. BMAD and BMD for bone age did not differ at cessation of treatment.

#### Correlations

The changes ( $\Delta$ ) between values at cessation of treatment and at start of treatment were calculated.  $\Delta$ Height and  $\Delta$ LBM  $SD$  scores were positively correlated with  $\Delta BMD_{LS}$  ( $r = 0.46$ ,  $P = 0.007$ ;  $r = 0.61$ ,  $P < 0.001$ , respectively), but not with




FIG. 2. Body composition and height adjusted for chronological age [mean (SEM)] at start, at cessation, and after cessation of GnRH-a therapy in children with precocious puberty. <sup>a</sup>,  $P < 0.01$ ; <sup>b</sup>,  $P < 0.001$  for the comparison of the mean  $SD$  score with zero; \*,  $P < 0.01$ , \*\*,  $P < 0.001$  compared to stop.

**TABLE 3.** Biochemical parameters at baseline and after cessation of GnRH agonist treatment in children with precocious puberty [mean (SEM)]

	Before and during GnRH-a		After cessation of GnRH-a		
	Baseline	6 months	Stop	1 yr	2 yrs
No.	45	44	33	29	18
Ca (mmol/liter)	2.42 (0.02)	2.42 (0.02)	2.38 (0.02)	2.37 (0.02)	2.39 (0.03)
Phosphate SD score	-0.07 (0.11)	-0.23 (0.12)	-0.23 (0.08)**	-0.13 (0.15)	-0.33 (0.17)
ALP SD score	0.10 (0.19)	-1.05 (0.15) <sup>b,**</sup>	-1.18 (0.24) <sup>b,**</sup>	-0.56 (0.26) <sup>1</sup>	-1.48 (0.44) <sup>a</sup>
PICP SD score	0.57 (0.25)	-0.74 (0.21) <sup>a,**</sup>	-1.23 (0.19) <sup>b,**</sup>	-0.45 (0.29)	-1.11 (0.26) <sup>b</sup>
ICTP SD score	1.78 (0.14) <sup>b</sup>	0.26 (0.14)**	-0.03 (0.32)**	0.68 (0.23) <sup>a</sup>	-0.48 (0.33)
1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D (pmol/liter)	132.1 (7.0)	125.1 (7.1)	117.5 (5.7)	138.4 (6.4) <sup>1</sup>	129.6 (7.8)
Urine Ca/Cr ratio	0.20 (0.02)	0.40 (0.04)*	0.33 (0.03)*	0.24 (0.05)	0.28 (0.06)
Urine OHP/Cr ratio (mmol/mol)	132 (9)	104 (16)**	77 (4)**	86 (9)	52 (5) <sup>1</sup>

<sup>a</sup>  $P < 0.01$ , <sup>b</sup>  $P < 0.001$  for the comparison of the mean SD score with zero; \*,  $P < 0.01$ , \*\*,  $P < 0.001$  compared with baseline; <sup>1</sup>  $P < 0.01$ , <sup>2</sup>  $P < 0.001$  compared to stop.

BMAD<sub>LS</sub> or BMD<sub>TB</sub>.  $\Delta$ BMI SD score was not significantly correlated with  $\Delta$ BMD or  $\Delta$ BMAD SD scores. Changes in parameters of bone turnover showed correlation with neither  $\Delta$ height SD scores nor changes in BMD or BMAD SD scores.

### Discussion

The present study showed increased BMD<sub>LS</sub> for chronological age in children with precocious or early puberty. During GnRH-a treatment, BMD and BMAD of lumbar spine and total body BMD for chronological age decreased, after an initial increase, to the normal range. However, after correction for bone age, BMD<sub>LS</sub> and BMD<sub>TB</sub> were reduced. Using BMAD<sub>LS</sub> (volumetric or apparent BMD) corrected for bone age normal bone density before, during, and after cessation of treatment was found.

Sex steroids, and especially estrogens, are very important in the acquisition of bone mass. This became more clear when two new syndromes were described, each representing a human model in which estrogen was lacking. A female with aromatase deficiency (17) and a male with ER defect (18) had severe undermineralization of the skeleton and no epiphyseal closure. So, androgens do not cause normal epiphyseal closure and bone mineralization in the absence of estrogens. In GnRH-a-treated precocious puberty, a decrease in sex steroids by GnRH-a may explain the decrease in bone density. The initial increase in BMD in our patients may be explained by incomplete suppression of puberty during the first months.

In children with growth disorders, BMAD may be a more appropriate parameter to evaluate bone mineralization than (areal) BMD. Because children with precocious puberty have tall stature, BMD measured by DEXA will be overestimated. By calculating BMAD, a correction for bone size is made. Indeed, BMAD was increased to a much lesser extent than BMD<sub>LS</sub>. Together, these findings suggest that increased BMD<sub>LS</sub> and increased bone turnover at baseline mainly reflect increased growth, rather than increased bone mineralization.

In adults with GnRH-a therapy, an absolute decrease in BMD has been reported (4, 5). In contrast, absolute BMD increased during GnRH-a therapy in children, albeit at lower rates than before start of therapy, because their SD scores decreased. Adults reach their PBM and will not further increase their bone mass in physiological conditions. Absolute

BMD also increases in healthy prepubertal children who are not exposed to high levels of sex steroids. This might explain that during gonadal suppression in our CPP patients BMD continued to increase.

Heger *et al.* (19) studied patients after cessation of GnRH-a therapy at final height. These young women had normal bone density of LS and femoral neck. Most studies found a BMD high for chronological age, but appropriate for bone age (19–21), before start of therapy. The described effects of GnRH-a on bone are still controversial. No change during 2 yr of treatment has been reported (20), as well as a decrease in trabecular bone density during GnRH-a therapy, whereas cortical bone of the radius did not change (22). Saggese *et al.* (23) reported a reduction in BMD in cortical bone of the radius within 6 months. Most studies were performed in rather small patient groups varying from 10–13 children. Additionally, differences in reference values and differences in site, which have been measured, and differences in timing of start of treatment may have contributed to some of the discrepancy with our results. Furthermore, our study also included children with early puberty. Children with CPP were taller but did not have higher BMD than children with early puberty, at baseline. Despite a somewhat longer treatment in CPP, BMD was even somewhat higher in CPP at cessation of therapy. This difference disappeared after correction for bone size by calculating BMAD. Bertelloni *et al.* (24) stated that PBM was not impaired in girls treated with GnRH-a. Because PBM of LS was measured at the chronological age of 13.4 yr, this might have been too early. Bone mineral accrual continues in the postpubertal years after linear growth has ceased. Furthermore, the age that PBM is reached appeared to be site-dependent (25). Our patients had mean age of 13.4 yr and bone age of 14.7 yr at their last visit. Theoretically, children with precocious puberty might attain their PBM at an earlier chronological age, because of their advanced bone maturation. However, all patients showed an ongoing increase in BMD<sub>LS</sub> after cessation of therapy. So, we cannot draw any final conclusions yet regarding PBM. Nonetheless, our findings, *e.g.* normal bone mass and bone turnover 2 yr after cessation of therapy, do not suggest that PBM will be impaired in children with a history of GnRH-a therapy.

We found that the ICTP was significantly higher and PICP was slightly higher than normal before the start of treatment.

During treatment, bone turnover decreased mainly in the first 6 months of treatment and stabilized thereafter. Other authors found similar results. Antoniazzi *et al.* (22) showed that patients with CPP had pubertal osteocalcin levels that decreased during treatment. Hertel *et al.* (26) reported that girls with CPP had normal PICP levels that decreased within 2 months after initiation of GnRH-a and remained below baseline values. Therefore, markers of bone resorption as well as markers of bone formation decrease during GnRH-a therapy. However, biochemical markers are not specific for bone modeling or remodeling. Additionally, PICP, ICTP, and ALP are not bone-specific. Thus, changes in biochemical markers may reflect changes in growth as well as changes in bone mineralization. Two years after cessation of therapy, the markers of bone turnover were in the normal range for bone age.

Obesity is a common problem in children with precocious puberty (6, 27, 28). Indeed, in the present study, percentage body fat was increased at baseline. At cessation of therapy, percentage body fat was significantly higher than normal, and it normalized thereafter. After an initial aggravation of adiposity, no prolonged negative effects on percentage body fat were found. In concordance with our study, two other studies showed increased BMI at the start but no change in BMI during treatment (6, 19). BMI cannot distinguish between LBM and fat mass; BMI will not change when LBM decreases and fat mass increases as occurred in our study. Therefore, DEXA is preferred to evaluate body composition.

The decreased GH and IGF-I levels that have been reported during GnRH-a therapy (27, 29, 30) might play a role in the increment of fat mass and decrease in LBM. Kamp *et al.* (27) showed that GH levels in GnRH-a-treated children with CPP were inversely related to BMI. GH-deficient patients have decreased bone density, increased fat mass, and decreased LBM, which improved during GH replacement therapy (31). Besides a decrease in estrogens and GH levels, the decrease in muscle mass during GnRH-a therapy may also affect bone mineralization.

In conclusion, children with precocious and early puberty had normal total body BMD and increased BMD<sub>LS</sub> for chronological age. Only BMD<sub>LS</sub> decreased significantly during GnRH-a therapy. In contrast, BMD corrected for bone age was significantly lower than normal. Using BMAD<sub>LS</sub> (volumetric or apparent BMD) corrected for chronological age or bone age, normal bone density before, during, and after cessation of treatment was found. Two years after cessation of therapy, bone density for bone age and chronological age did not differ from normal, and markers of bone turnover adjusted for bone age were normal. Thus, this study suggests that PBM will not be impaired in children with a history of GnRH-a therapy. However, our patients did not reach their PBM yet, so further follow-up is still needed. After an initial aggravation of adiposity during treatment, their percentage body fat decreased to normal values after treatment was stopped.

### Acknowledgments

We thank Dick Mul for the bone age assessments, Jopie Hensen (Department of Nuclear Medicine) for her assistance in DEXA measurements, Anjalie Asarfi and Ingrid van Slobbe for their assistance in

data collection, and Ingrid Beukers, Mariëlle van Eekelen, and Elisabeth Boosten for their assistance at the outpatient clinic.

Received June 14, 2001. Accepted October 22, 2001.

Address all correspondence and requests for reprints to: Inge van der Sluis, M.D., Sophia Children's Hospital, Subdivision of Endocrinology, P.O. Box 2060, 3000 CB Rotterdam, The Netherlands. E-mail: vandesluis@alkg.azr.nl.

This work was supported by a grant from Novo Nordisk.

### References

- Bailey DA, Martin AD, McKay HA, Whiting S, Mirwald R 2000 Calcium accretion in girls and boys during puberty: a longitudinal analysis. *J Bone Miner Res* 15:2245–2250
- Conn PM, Crowley WF 1991 Gonadotropin-releasing hormone and its analogues. *N Engl J Med* 324:93–103
- Lindsay R 1995 Estrogen deficiency. In: Riggs BL, Melton LJ, eds. *Osteoporosis, etiology, diagnosis, and management*, 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven; 133–160
- Paoletti AM, Serra GG, Cagnacci A, Vacca AM, Guerriero S, Solla E, Melis GB 1996 Spontaneous reversibility of bone loss induced by gonadotropin-releasing hormone analog treatment. *Fertil Steril* 65:707–710
- Goldray D, Weisman Y, Jaccard N, Merdler C, Chen J, Matzkin H 1993 Decreased bone density in elderly men treated with the gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist decapeptyl (d-TRP6-GnRH). *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 76:288–290
- Palmert MR, Mansfield MJ, Crowley Jr WF, Crigler Jr JF, Crawford JD, Boepple PA 1999 Is obesity an outcome of gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist administration? Analysis of growth and body composition in 110 patients with central precocious puberty. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 84:4480–4488
- Oostdijk W, Rikken B, Schreuder S, Otten B, Odink R, Rouwe C, Jansen M, Gerver WJ, Waelkens J, Drop S 1996 Final height in central precocious puberty after long term treatment with a slow release GnRH agonist. *Arch Dis Child* 75:292–297
- Boot AM, Muinck Keizer-Schrama SMPF, Pols HAP, Krenning EP, Drop SLS 1998 Bone mineral density and body composition before and during treatment with gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist in children with precocious and early puberty. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 83:370–373
- Fredriks AM, van Buuren S, Burgmeijer RJ, Meulmeester JF, Beuker RJ, Brugman E, Roede MJ, Verloove-Vanhorick SP, Wit J 2000 Continuing positive secular growth change in The Netherlands 1955–1997. *Pediatr Res* 47:316–323
- Tanner JM, Whitehouse RH 1976 Clinical longitudinal standards for height, weight, height velocity, weight velocity, and stages of puberty. *Arch Dis Child* 51:170–179
- Gruelich WW, Pyle SI 1959 Radiographic atlas of skeletal development of the hand and wrist, 2nd ed. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press
- Bonnick SL 1998 Bone densitometry in clinical practice, 1st ed. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press
- Kröger HPJ, Vainio P, Nieminen J, Kotaniemi A 1995 Comparison of different models for interpreting bone mineral density measurements using DXA and MRI technology. *Bone* 17:157–159
- Johnson J, Dawson-Hughes B 1991 Precision and stability of dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry measurements. *Calcif Tissue Int* 49:174–178
- Boot AM, de Ridder MAJ, Pols HAP, Krenning EP, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SMPF 1997 Bone mineral density in children and adolescents: relation to puberty, calcium intake, and physical activity. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 82:57–62
- Boot AM, Bouquet J, de Ridder MAJ, Krenning EP, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SMPF 1997 Determinants of body composition, measured by dual x-ray absorptiometry, in Dutch children and adolescents. *Am J Clin Nutr* 66:232–238
- Conte FA, Grumbach MM, Ito Y, Fisher CR, Simpson ER 1994 A syndrome of female pseudohermaphroditism, hypergonadotropic hypogonadism, and multicystic ovaries associated with missense mutations in the gene encoding aromatase (P450arom). *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 78:1287–1292
- Smith EP, Boyd J, Frank GR, Takahashi H, Cohen RM, Specker B, Williams TC, Lubahn DB, Korach KS 1994 Estrogen resistance caused by a mutation in the estrogen-receptor gene in a man. *N Engl J Med* 331:1056–1061
- Heger S, Partsch CJ, Sippell WG 1999 Long-term outcome after depot gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist treatment of central precocious puberty: final height, body proportions, body composition, bone mineral density, and reproductive function. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 84:4583–4590
- Neely EK, Bachrach LK, Hintz R, Habiby RL, Slemenda CW, Feezle L, Pescovitz OH 1995 Bone mineral density during treatment of central precocious puberty. *J Pediatr* 127:819–822
- Saggese G, Bertelloni S, Baroncelli GI, Battini R, Franchi G 1993 Reduction of bone density: an effect of gonadotropin releasing hormone analogue treatment in central precocious puberty. *Eur J Pediatr* 152:717–720

22. Antoniazzi F, Bertoldo F, Zamboni G, Valentini R, Sirpresi S, Cavallo L, Adami S, Tato L 1995 Bone mineral metabolism in girls with precocious puberty during gonadotrophin-releasing hormone agonist treatment. *Eur J Endocrinol* 133:412–417
23. Saggese G, Baroncelli GI, Bertelloni S, Cinquanta L, di Nero G 1993 Effects of long-term treatment with growth hormone on bone and mineral metabolism in children with growth hormone deficiency. *J Pediatr* 122:37–45
24. Bertelloni S, Baroncelli GI, Sorrentino MC, Perri G, Saggese G 1998 Effect of central precocious puberty and gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogue treatment on peak bone mass and final height in females. *Eur J Pediatr* 157:363–367
25. Matkovic V, Jelic T, Wardlaw GM, Ilich JZ, Goel PK, Wright JK, Andon MB, Smith KT, Heaney RP 1994 Timing of peak bone mass in Caucasian females and its implication for the prevention of osteoporosis. *J Clin Invest* 93:799–808
26. Hertel NT, Stoltenberg M, Juul A, Main KM, Muller J, Nielsen CT, Lorenzen I, Skakkebaek NE 1993 Serum concentrations of type I and III procollagen propeptides in healthy children and girls with central precocious puberty during treatment with gonadotropin-releasing hormone analog and cyproterone acetate. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 76:924–927
27. Kamp GA, Manasco PK, Barnes KM, Jones J, Rose SR, Hill SC, Cutler Jr GB 1991 Low growth hormone levels are related to increased body mass index and do not reflect impaired growth in luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone agonist-treated children with precocious puberty. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 72:301–307
28. Chiumello G, Brambilla P, Guarneri MP, Russo G, Manzoni P, Sgaramella P 2000 Precocious puberty and body composition: effects of GnRH analog treatment. *J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab* 13:791–794
29. Mansfield MJ, Rudlin CR, Crigler Jr JF, Karol KA, Crawford JD, Boepple PA, Crowley Jr WF 1998 Changes in growth and serum growth hormone and plasma somatomedin-C levels during suppression of gonadal sex steroid secretion in girls with central precocious puberty. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 66:3–9
30. Juul A, Scheike T, Nielsen CT, Krabbe S, Müller J, Skakkebaek NE 1995 Serum insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I) and IGF-binding protein 3 levels are increased in central precocious puberty: effects of two different treatment regimens with gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonists, without or in combination with an antiandrogen (cyproterone acetate). *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 80:3059–3067
31. Boot AM, Engels MAMJ, Boerma GJM, Krenning EP, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SMPF 1997 Changes in bone mineral density, body composition, and lipid metabolism during growth hormone (GH) treatment in children with GH deficiency. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 82:2423–2428

---

**Asymptomatic Primary Hyperparathyroidism**  
**National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases**  
**National Institutes of Health**  
**Bethesda, Maryland**  
**April 8–9, 2002**

A 1990 NIH Consensus Development Conference on Asymptomatic Primary Hyperparathyroidism, sponsored by NIDDK, explored the causes and effects of this disease, developing a consensus on how to treat it. Together with a research agenda, the consensus statement provided landmarks for basic and clinical approaches to the disease. Since then, many new advances have occurred, in many instances providing new approaches to treatment.

Now in 2002, this workshop will attempt to define the current state of the art by focusing on the latest basic and clinical advances that have been made in our understanding of this disease, with an eye on identifying new directions for the 21st century. Posters are welcome.

For further information, call (301) 493-9674, ext. 104, or visit the meeting website ([www.phpt.niddk.nih.gov](http://www.phpt.niddk.nih.gov)).