

Funding

The Lathia Laboratory is supported by the National Institutes of Health (R01 NS0117104, R01 NS109742, R01 NS083629, and P01 CA245705), a Sontag Foundation Distinguished Scientist Award, and the VeloSano Bike Race. D.J.S. was supported by the CWRU Cancer Biology Training Grant (T32CA059366) and an NIH Kirschstein NRSA Fellowship (F32CA213727).

Competing interests

The authors report no competing interests.

References

Dandy WE. Removal of right cerebral hemisphere for certain tumors with hemiplegia:

preliminary Report. *JAMA* 1928; 90: 823–5.

Drumm MR, Dixit KS, Grimm S, Kumthekar P, Lukas RV, Raizer JJ, et al. Extensive brainstem infiltration, not mass effect, is a common feature of end-stage cerebral glioblastomas. *Neuro-Oncology* 2020; 22: 470–9.

Geoffroy CG, Zheng B. Myelin-associated inhibitors in axonal growth after CNS injury. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 2014; 27: 31–8.

Hong JH, Kang S, Sa JK, Park G, Oh YT, Kim TH, et al. Modulation of Nogo receptor 1 expression orchestrates myelin-associated infiltration of glioblastoma. *Brain* 2021; 144: 636–54.

Lathia JD, Mack SC, Mulkearns-Hubert EE, Valentim CLL, Rich JN. Cancer stem cells in glioblastoma. *Genes Dev* 2015; 29: 1203–17.

Mikheeva SA, Mikheev AM, Petit A, Beyer R, Oxford RG, Khorasani L, et al. TWIST1 promotes invasion through mesenchymal change in human glioblastoma. *Mol Cancer* 2010; 9: 194–18.

Patel AP, Tirosch I, Trombetta JJ, Shalek AK, Gillespie SM, Wakimoto H, et al. Single-cell RNA-seq highlights intratumoral heterogeneity in primary glioblastoma. *Science* 2014; 344: 1396–401.

Reinartz R, Wang S, Kebir S, Silver DJ, Wieland A, Zheng T, et al. Functional subclone profiling for prediction of treatment-induced intratumor population shifts and discovery of rational drug combinations in human glioblastoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 2017; 23: 562–74.

Scherer HJ. The forms of growth in gliomas and their practical significance. *Brain* 1940a; 63: 1–35.

Scherer HJ. A critical review: the pathology of cerebral gliomas. *J Neurol Psychiatry* 1940b; 3: 147–77.

Shingu T, Ho AL, Yuan L, Zhou X, Dai C, Zheng S, et al. Qki deficiency maintains stemness of glioma stem cells in suboptimal environment by downregulating endolysosomal degradation. *Nat Genet* 2016; 49: 75–86.

Siebzehnrubl FA, Silver DJ, Tugertimur B, Deleyrolle LP, Siebzehnrubl D, Sarkisian MR, et al. The ZEB1 pathway links glioblastoma initiation, invasion and chemoresistance. *EMBO Mol Med* 2013; 5: 1196–212.

Silver DJ, Siebzehnrubl FA, Schildts MJ, Yachnis AT, Smith GM, Smith AA, et al. Chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans potentially inhibit invasion and serve as a central organizer of the brain tumor microenvironment. *J Neurosci* 2013; 33: 15603–17.

COVID-19 vaccine and Guillain-Barré syndrome: let's not leap to associations

This special commentary refers to 'Epidemiological and cohort study finds no association between COVID-19 and Guillain-Barré syndrome' by *Keddie et al.* (doi:10.1093/brain/awaa433).

A worldwide mass vaccination campaign to control the COVID-19 pandemic is imminent. Understanding the epidemiology of rare diseases whose onset will inevitably occur by coincidence following SARS-CoV-2 vaccination, but which have little to no evidence of being caused by them in any significant number of cases, is critical. Failure to appreciate these issues will result in misattribution of adverse events to the vaccination programme. This could lead to poor uptake of vaccines, delay or even withdrawal of vaccines, or vaccine programmes

resulting in unnecessary morbidity and mortality.

Global cases of the COVID-19 respiratory illness caused by the virus SARS-CoV-2 have surpassed 50 million, with a pandemic resulting in medical and economic devastation worldwide. As of late November 2020, four vaccines involving over 100 000 participants have displayed favourable efficacy without significant reported side effects in phase 3 trials. At least 57 COVID-19 vaccines are in phase 1–3 trials: six already have limited approval, and 87 are under active animal study investigation (<https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2020/science/coronavirus-vaccine-tracker.html> accessed 18 November 2020).

Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS) is an acute inflammatory peripheral nerve

disorder resulting in severe and sometimes lasting paralysis; about one-third of patients develop respiratory failure requiring intensive care unit (ICU) admission and ventilation (*Leonhard et al., 2019*). GBS is fatal in 3–5% of patients, and about two-thirds have residual disability. The lifetime individual risk of acquiring GBS is about 1:1000, and the annual incidence of GBS is ~1.7 persons per 100 000 population (*Sejvar et al., 2011; Keddie et al., 2021*). Some 1500 cases of GBS are recorded in the UK each year, or by extrapolation, about 100 000 worldwide. It is thus an alarming illness for the public and healthcare providers.

Around half of those affected by GBS have a preceding history of an identified infection and two-thirds

preceding infectious symptoms. The remainder have no overt trigger for their illness. The commonest triggering infection worldwide is gastroenteritis caused by *Campylobacter jejuni* (Doets *et al.*, 2018). Many other infections can also trigger GBS including cytomegalovirus, influenza, *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, the flaviviruses Zika and dengue, and the alphavirus, chikungunya (Brito Ferreira *et al.*, 2020). Notably, during the recent Zika virus epidemic in Latin America, many countries reported a sharp rise in cases of GBS confirmed by strict epidemiological analysis, a relationship widely considered to be causal (Brito Ferreira *et al.*, 2020).

The possibility of SARS-CoV-2 also driving a global spike in GBS has unsurprisingly been eagerly monitored with many cases and small series already published asserting a causal link. However, a surge in GBS cases after the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has not been detected as it happened in the Zika virus pandemic. In a recent epidemiological study conducted across the UK, there was no increased incidence in GBS during the first wave of COVID-19, and thus no causal link of COVID-19 to GBS could be made in this population (Keddie *et al.*, 2021). A small increase in GBS incidence might be disguised behind a much larger decrease in GBS cases from other causes. Filosto *et al.* (2020) published a series of 34 GBS patients from a Northern Italian population of 8.4 million people. They reported a 2.6-fold increase in incidence of GBS, a 3.3-fold decrease in non-COVID-19-associated GBS and calculated a rate of 47.9 cases of GBS per 100 000 COVID-19 infections. However, with such small numbers, the confidence intervals (CIs) of the GBS incidences overlap. Furthermore, using a published Italian Statistical Institute (ISTAT) seroprevalence of COVID-19 for the same period makes the COVID-19-associated rate a maximum of 4.7 cases per 100 000 COVID-19 infections; a small increase may represent a chance finding (Filosto *et al.*, 2020). Thus, whilst one cannot exclude the possibility of a

COVID-19–GBS association without case-control studies, there is currently no definitive evidence that there is an appreciable increase in GBS cases with COVID-19.

Why does GBS rear its head in the context of the SARS-Cov-2 pandemic and vaccination programmes? To understand this, we need to revisit the 1976/77 USA/New Jersey/76 vaccination programme that brought GBS to world-wide attention. Following dire warnings from experts of pandemic ‘swine flu’, President Ford instituted a rapid vaccine development program in the USA to prevent this (Neustadt and Fineberg, 1978). Unfortunately, the vaccine was found to be associated with a spike in cases of GBS with an initial calculation of a relative risk of 7.6 (95% CI 6.7–8.6) in the 6 weeks following vaccination, amounting to an attributable risk of just under one case of GBS per 100 000 vaccinations (Neustadt and Fineberg, 1978; Schonberger *et al.*, 1979). As soon as this was known, the program was halted, but not before implanting the idea of a lasting association between GBS and vaccination (Langmuir, 1979; Langmuir *et al.*, 1984). We must not allow hasty and misattributed associations to result from the occurrence of GBS shortly after COVID vaccination without very careful statistical thought and analysis.

Following the ‘swine flu’ programme, numerous national surveillance studies to identify vaccine-related GBS have been carried out, notably in the 2008/09 H1N1 influenza seasons, because the H1N1 influenza strain was also of swine origin. Any vaccine-related increase in GBS following modern influenza vaccines has been tiny, with the consensus of many robust studies being about one additional case per million vaccinations (10-fold less than in 1976) (Perez-Vilar *et al.*, 2020; Salmon *et al.*, 2020). In one UK GP database study, influenza vaccination was significantly protective, as it is in modelling studies of influenza vaccine where influenza prevalence is >5% and vaccine effectiveness >60% (Hawken *et al.*, 2015). The risk of hospitalization after

influenza infection is far greater than these at about 17 per million (Vellozzi *et al.*, 2014). Around 22 000 deaths were attributed to influenza infection in the USA in 2019/20.

Multiple other vaccines including hepatitis B, polio, tetanus, meningococcus, rabies, and importantly, an orally administered adenovirus vaccine, have also previously been alleged to be associated with the occurrence of GBS (McNeil *et al.*, 2019; Chen *et al.*, 2020). No causative links have been conclusively proven despite these individual reports being widely quoted. In a defensive posture, but one that further heightens worries about GBS and vaccines, GBS is recorded as a warning in every vaccination summary of medical product characteristics (SmPC) in the EU or Package Insert (PI) insert in the USA.

All this leads to the relevance of GBS to the current proposed vaccination programme for COVID-19. The world is about to vaccinate at least 1 billion people, and possibly many more if production and logistics allow. The vaccination effort will likely begin in December 2020 and continue for several years. It will be the largest mass vaccination campaign ever undertaken in history. Most COVID-19 vaccinations are based on the Sars-CoV-2 spike protein. Sars-CoV-2 has not so far been shown to result in a significant increase in GBS. The vaccines developed to this point are delivered by different routes to natural infection and present the S-protein in unconventional ways. However, they contain no additional immunogenic material known or proven to drive GBS. Thus, although an association of any vaccination to GBS cannot be ruled out and we must remain vigilant to its potential occurrence, it is not to be presumptively expected.

Herein lie the statistics: within a population of 1 billion people, one would expect about 17 000 cases of GBS to occur sporadically per annum, of which 1962 would occur in any 6-week period. When considering a more optimistic 4-billion-person immunization programme conducted over 1 year, 68 000 cases of GBS

would be expected to occur naturally within this time period, irrespective of any vaccination programme. Of these GBS cases, 13 076 would occur in the 10-week window following double-dose vaccination with injections separated by 4 weeks. It is therefore inevitable that many thousands of sporadic cases of GBS caused by other factors will appear temporally associated with COVID-19 vaccination. But, as any statistician can confirm, this cannot be considered causal.

So why is this so important?

First, the rapid vaccine development, accelerated trial programmes, vaccine production and drug licensing that have been necessary to get us to today put pharmaceutical companies and regulators under the microscope of scientific colleagues, the world's press, and every member of the concerned public. Rare diseases with potentially severe consequences such as GBS are thus scrutinized and monitored in minute detail for any increase in incidence. These processes must be transparent and open to outside review. This is already occurring through the regulatory monitoring bodies. Cases of GBS, or other neurological disease, will inevitably occur within the 6-week post-vaccination window by chance alone when such large numbers are vaccinated. Decision makers must not stop the vaccination programmes unless there is clear evidence of a genuine excess of cases that has been carefully calculated, and even then, only if it is of sufficient magnitude to exceed the benefits of vaccination.

Second, rigorous and well-designed GBS surveillance programmes with accurate case definition and ascertainment are needed. Criteria developed by the Brighton Collaboration can be used to verify cases and provide reassurance of diagnostic certainty (Fokke *et al.*, 2014). These will be critical to accurate case ascertainment. Where practical, ascertainment programmes should be multi-national and

include control groups not receiving vaccines. The Peripheral Nerve Society (www.pnsociety.com) and the International GBS Outcome Study group (<https://gbsstudies.erasmusmc.nl/>) will promote an international effort to prospectively register and collate the numerical incidence of GBS and other relevant autoimmune diseases compared to real-time population and vaccination numbers to identify any excess of cases that might lead to real concern about one or more vaccines. These will be critical to determining the risks, if any, of GBS being associated with any of the vaccines. These programmes should also be transparent and open.

Third, governments and medical agencies are about to embark on the most difficult and massive public health intervention in modern history. It will have far-reaching and long-lasting consequences if we get it right, and more if we get it wrong. Everyone, including scientists, publishers, editors and mass media must thus resist the misuse of statistics and epidemiology that could lead to misattribution of cause, without appreciating the lasting negative consequences for ongoing health and ill health of the world's population.

Responsible citizens understand the value and risk of any vaccine. The individual risk for GBS and other rare complications is likely to be very small indeed, and the benefit of protection against COVID-19 both for individuals and society is far greater. It should be implicit for regulators, pharmaceutical companies, mass media and the general public to understand that rare diseases will inevitably occur by chance during the vaccination window, and that the temporal association between vaccination and GBS onset even in large numbers of individuals within a huge population of billions is not adequate evidence of causation. In those conditions like GBS where minds are preprogrammed to leap to causative assumptions through cognitive bias, this is a particularly vital message to convey.

As part of a larger consortium of neurologists, virologists, vaccinologists,

epidemiologists and health-interested agencies that should be immediately convened, we will seek to accurately inform the public, pharmaceutical companies and regulators of this inevitable and important issue.

Michael P. Lunn,^{1,2} David R. Cornblath,³ Bart C. Jacobs,^{4,5} Luis Querol,⁶ Peter A. van Doorn,⁴ Richard A. Hughes¹ and Hugh J. Willison⁷

1 Centre for Neuromuscular Disease, National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, Queen Square, London WC1N 3BG, UK

2 Department of Neuromuscular Disease, Institute of Neurology, University College London, WC1N 3BG, UK

3 Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

4 Department of Neurology, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

5 Department of Immunology, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

6 Department of Neurology, Hospital de la Santa Creu i Santa Pau, 8025, Barcelona, Spain

7 College of Medicine, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

Correspondence to: Michael P. Lunn
E-mail: michaellunn@nhs.net

doi:10.1093/brain/awaa444

Funding

No specific funding was received towards this work. M.P.L. is supported by the by the National Institute for Health Research University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre.

Competing interests

M.P.L. Consultancy to UCB Pharma Inc., CSL Behring and Polyneuron; PI on trials with Polyneuron and UCB Pharma

Inc. for which his institution receives investigator fees all outside the submitted work. Data Safety Monitoring Boards: Octapharma, IoC trial, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals. D.R.C.: consultancy to: Amgen Inc., Annexion Biosciences, Argenx SE, Biotest Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Boehringer Ingelheim, Cigna Health Management, Inc., CSL Behring, Grifols S.A., Neuropore, New Enterprise Associates, Inc., Octapharma AG, Passage Bio, Pfizer Inc., Pharnext SAS, Polyneuron Pharmaceuticals, Sanofi-Aventis, Seattle Genetics Inc., UCB Pharma Inc. Data Safety Monitoring Board: Alnylam Pharmaceuticals, Anavex Life Sciences Corp, PledPharma AB, Momenta Pharma, Hansa Medical AB, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation. Technology Licensing: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP, Genentech Inc., Levicept Inc., Seattle Genetics, Inc., Merrimack Pharmaceuticals, Disarm Therapeutics. All outside the submitted work. R.A.C.H. has held consultancies with Hansa, Sanofi and UCB with no relevance to the submitted work. B.C.J. has unrestricted financial support for research from Dutch 'Prinses Beatrix Spierfonds', GBS-CIDP Foundation International, CSL-Behring, Grifols, Annexion and Hansa Biopharma, all outside the submitted work. B.C.J. is chair of the Steering Committee of the International GBS Outcomes Study (IGOS). L.Q. has received speaker honoraria from Merck, Sanofi-Genzyme, Roche, Biogen, Grifols, CSL Behring; provided expert testimony for Grifols, Johnson and Johnson, Annexion Pharmaceuticals, Alexion, Sanofi-Genzyme, Novartis and CSL Behring; and received research funds from Roche and Grifols, all outside the submitted work. P.A.v.D. reports grants: Sanquin Blood Supply, Prinses Beatrix

Spierfonds, Grifols and Takeda. Expert testimony: Annexion, Argenx, CSL, Octapharma, and Hansa, all outside the submitted work. All grants were paid to the institution research fund. H.J.W. reports no competing interests.

References

- Brito Ferreira ML, Militao de Albuquerque MFP, de Brito CAA, de Oliveira Franca RF, Porto Moreira AJ, de Moraes Machado MI, et al. Neurological disease in adults with Zika and chikungunya virus infection in Northeast Brazil: a prospective observational study. *Lancet Neurol* 2020; 19: 826–39.
- Chen Y, Zhang J, Chu X, Xu Y, Ma F. Vaccines and the risk of Guillain-Barre syndrome. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2020; 35: 363–70.
- Doets AY, Verboon C, van den Berg B, Harbo T, Cornblath DR, Willison HJ; IGOS Consortium, et al. Regional variation of Guillain-Barre syndrome. *Brain* 2018; 141: 2866–77.
- Filosto M, Cotti Piccinelli S, Gazzina S, Foresti C, Frigeni B, Servalli MC, et al. Guillain-Barre syndrome and COVID-19: an observational multicentre study from two Italian hotspot regions. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2020; doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2020-324837 [Epub ahead of print].
- Fokke C, van den Berg B, Drenthen J, Walgaard C, van Doorn PA, Jacobs BC. Diagnosis of Guillain-Barre syndrome and validation of Brighton criteria. *Brain* 2014; 137: 33–43.
- Hawken S, Kwong JC, Deeks SL, Crowcroft NS, McGeer AJ, Ducharme R, et al. Simulation study of the effect of influenza and influenza vaccination on risk of acquiring Guillain-Barre syndrome. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2015; 21: 224–31.
- Keddie S, Pakpoor J, Mousele C, Pipis M, Machado PM, Foster M, et al. Epidemiological and cohort study finds no association between COVID-19 and Guillain-Barre syndrome. *Brain* 2021; 144: 682–693.
- Langmuir AD. Guillain-Barre syndrome: the swine influenza virus vaccine incident in the United States of America, 1976–77: preliminary communication. *J R Soc Med* 1979; 72: 660–9.
- Langmuir AD, Bregman DJ, Kurland LT, Nathanson N, Victor M. An epidemiologic and clinical evaluation of Guillain-Barre syndrome reported in association with the administration of swine influenza vaccines. *Am J Epidemiol* 1984; 119: 841–79.
- Leonhard SE, Mandarakas MR, Gondim FAA, Bateman K, Ferreira MLB, Cornblath DR, et al. Diagnosis and management of Guillain-Barre syndrome in ten steps. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2019; 15: 671–83.
- McNeil MM, Paradowska-Stankiewicz I, Miller ER, Marquez PL, Seshadri S, Collins LC Jr, et al. Adverse events following adenovirus type 4 and type 7 vaccine, live, oral in the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS), United States, October 2011–July 2018. *Vaccine* 2019; 37: 6760–7.
- Neustadt RE, Fineberg HV. The swine flu affair: decision-making on a slippery disease. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 1978.
- Perez-Vilar S, Hu M, Weintraub E, Arya D, Lufkin B, Myers T, et al. Guillain-Barre syndrome after high-dose influenza vaccine administration in the United States, 2018–2019 season. *J Infect Dis* 2020; jiaa543. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiaa543. [Epub ahead of print].
- Salmon DA, Dudley MZ, Carleton BC. Guillain-Barre syndrome following influenza vaccines affords opportunity to improve vaccine confidence. *J Infect Dis* 2020; jiaa544. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiaa544.
- Schonberger LB, Bregman DJ, Sullivan-Bolyai JZ, Keenlyside RA, Ziegler DW, Retailiau HF, et al. Guillain-Barre syndrome following vaccination in the national influenza immunization program, United States, 1976–1977. *Am J Epidemiol* 1979; 110: 105–23.
- Sejvar JJ, Baughman AL, Wise M, Morgan OW. Population incidence of Guillain-Barre syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neuroepidemiology* 2011; 36: 123–33.
- Vellozzi C, Iqbal S, Broder K. Guillain-Barre syndrome, influenza, and influenza vaccination: the epidemiologic evidence. *Clin Infect Dis* 2014; 58: 1149–55.