
Evidence-Based Interventional Pain Medicine
According to Clinical Diagnoses

Evidence-Based Interventional Pain Medicine

According to Clinical Diagnoses

EDITED BY

JAN VAN ZUNDERT MD, PhD, FIPP

Anesthesiologist
Department of Anesthesiology and Multidisciplinary Pain Centre
Ziekenhuis Oost-Limburg
Genk, Belgium

JACOB PATIJN MD, PhD

Neurologist
Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Management
Maastricht University Pain Centre
Maastricht, the Netherlands

CRAIG T. HARTRICK MD, DABPM, FIPP

Anesthesiologist
Departments of Anesthesiology, Biomedical Sciences, and Health Sciences
Oakland University William Beaumont School of Medicine
Rochester, MI, USA

ARNO LATASTER, MSc

Anatomist
Department of Anatomy and Embryology
Maastricht University
Maastricht, the Netherlands

FRANK J.P.M. HUYGEN MD, PhD, FIPP

Anesthesiologist
Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Management
Erasmus Medical Centre
Rotterdam, the Netherlands

NAGY MEKHAIL MD, PhD, FIPP

Carl E. Wasmthus professor and chair
Department of Pain Management
Cleveland Clinic
Cleveland, OH, USA

MAARTEN VAN KLEEF MD, PhD, FIPP

Anesthesiologist
Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Management
Maastricht University Medical Centre
Maastricht, the Netherlands

EDITORIAL COMMISSIONERS

ROGIER TROMPERT, Medical Illustrator

NICOLE VAN DEN HECKE, Coordinator

 **WILEY-BLACKWELL**

A John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Publication

This edition first published 2012, © 2012 by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd

Wiley-Blackwell is an imprint of John Wiley & Sons, formed by the merger of Wiley's global Scientific, Technical and Medical business with Blackwell Publishing.

Registered office: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

Editorial offices: 9600 Garsington Road, Oxford, OX4 2DQ, UK
The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK
111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030-5774, USA

For details of our global editorial offices, for customer services and for information about how to apply for permission to reuse the copyright material in this book please see our website at www.wiley.com/wiley-blackwell

The right of the author to be identified as the author of this work has been asserted in accordance with the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, without the prior permission of the publisher.

Designations used by companies to distinguish their products are often claimed as trademarks. All brand names and product names used in this book are trade names, service marks, trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners. The publisher is not associated with any product or vendor mentioned in this book. This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold on the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. If professional advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

The contents of this work are intended to further general scientific research, understanding, and discussion only and are not intended and should not be relied upon as recommending or promoting a specific method, diagnosis, or treatment by physicians for any particular patient. The publisher and the author make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this work and specifically disclaim all warranties, including without limitation any implied warranties of fitness for a particular purpose. In view of ongoing research, equipment modifications, changes in governmental regulations, and the constant flow of information relating to the use of medicines, equipment, and devices, the reader is urged to review and evaluate the information provided in the package insert or instructions for each medicine, equipment, or device for, among other things, any changes in the instructions or indication of usage and for added warnings and precautions. Readers should consult with a specialist where appropriate. The fact that an organization or Website is referred to in this work as a citation and/or a potential source of further information does not mean that the author or the publisher endorses the information the organization or Website may provide or recommendations it may make. Further, readers should be aware that Internet Websites listed in this work may have changed or disappeared between when this work was written and when it is read. No warranty may be created or extended by any promotional statements for this work. Neither the publisher nor the author shall be liable for any damages arising herefrom.

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

This book is published in the following electronic formats: ePDF 9781119968344; Wiley Online Library 9781119968375; ePub 9781119968351; mobi 9781119968368

Set in 9.25/12pt Minion by Toppan Best-set Premedia Limited, Hong Kong

Contents

Contributor List, vii

Foreword by Menno E. Sluijter, x

Foreword by P. Prithvi Raj, xi

Introduction, xiii

- 1 Trigeminal Neuralgia, 1
Maarten van Kleef, Wilco E. van Genderen, Samer Narouze, Turo J. Nurmikko, Jan Van Zundert, José W. Geurts and Nagy Mekhail
- 2 Cluster Headache, 8
Maarten van Kleef, Arno Lataster, Samer Narouze, Nagy Mekhail, José W. Geurts and Jan Van Zundert
- 3 Persistent Idiopathic Facial Pain, 14
Paul Cornelissen, Maarten van Kleef, Nagy Mekhail, Miles Day and Jan Van Zundert
- 4 Cervical Radicular Pain, 18
Jan Van Zundert, Marc Huntoon, Jacob Patijn, Arno Lataster, Nagy Mekhail and Maarten van Kleef
- 5 Cervical Facet Pain, 31
Maarten van Eerd, Jacob Patijn, Arno Lataster, Richard W. Rosenquist, Maarten van Kleef, Nagy Mekhail and Jan Van Zundert
- 6 Cervicogenic Headache, 40
Hans van Suijlekom, Jan Van Zundert, Samer Narouze, Maarten van Kleef and Nagy Mekhail
- 7 Whiplash-Associated Disorders, 45
Hans van Suijlekom, Nagy Mekhail, Nileshkumar Patel, Jan Van Zundert, Maarten van Kleef and Jacob Patijn
- 8 Occipital Neuralgia, 49
Pascal Vanelderden, Arno Lataster, Robert Levy, Nagy Mekhail, Maarten van Kleef and Jan Van Zundert
- 9 Painful Shoulder Complaints, 55
Frank Huygen, Jacob Patijn, Olav Rohof, Arno Lataster, Nagy Mekhail, Maarten van Kleef and Jan Van Zundert
- 10 Thoracic Pain, 62
Maarten van Kleef, Robert Jan Stolker, Arno Lataster, José W. Geurts, Honorio T. Benzon and Nagy Mekhail
- 11 Lumbosacral Radicular Pain, 71
Koen Van Boxem, Jianguo Cheng, Jacob Patijn, Maarten van Kleef, Arno Lataster, Nagy Mekhail and Jan Van Zundert
- 12 Pain Originating from the Lumbar Facet Joints, 87
Maarten van Kleef, Pascal Vanelderden, Steven P. Cohen, Arno Lataster, Jan Van Zundert and Nagy Mekhail
- 13 Sacroiliac Joint Pain, 96
Pascal Vanelderden, Karolina Szadek, Steven P. Cohen, Jan De Witte, Arno Lataster, Jacob Patijn, Nagy Mekhail, Maarten van Kleef and Jan Van Zundert
- 14 Coccygodynia, 103
Jacob Patijn, Markus Janssen, Salim Hayek, Nagy Mekhail, Jan Van Zundert and Maarten van Kleef
- 15 Discogenic Low Back Pain, 107
Jan Willem Kallewaard, Michel A. M. B. Terheggen, Gerbrand J. Groen, Menno E. Sluijter, Richard Derby, Leonardo Kapural, Nagy Mekhail and Maarten van Kleef
- 16 Complex Regional Pain Syndrome, 123
Frank van Eijs, Michael Stanton-Hicks, Jan Van Zundert, Catharina G. Faber, Timothy R. Lubenow, Nagy Mekhail, Maarten van Kleef and Frank Huygen
- 17 Herpes Zoster and Post-Herpetic Neuralgia, 137
Albert J. M. van Wijck, Mark Wallace, Nagy Mekhail and Maarten van Kleef
- 18 Painful Diabetic Polyneuropathy, 145
Wouter Pluijms, Frank Huygen, Jianguo Cheng, Nagy Mekhail, Maarten van Kleef, Jan Van Zundert and Robert van Dongen

Contents

- 19 Carpal Tunnel Syndrome, 151
Jacob Patijn, Ricardo Vallejo, Markus Janssen, Frank Huygen, Arno Lataster, Maarten van Kleef and Nagy Mekhail
- 20 Meralgia Paresthetica, 155
Jacob Patijn, Nagy Mekhail, Salim Hayek, Arno Lataster, Maarten van Kleef and Jan Van Zundert
- 21 Phantom Pain, 160
Andre Wolff, Eric Vanduyhoven, Maarten van Kleef, Frank Huygen, Jason E. Pope and Nagy Mekhail
- 22 Traumatic Plexus Lesion, 168
Robert van Dongen, Steven P. Cohen, Maarten van Kleef, Nagy Mekhail and Frank Huygen
- 23 Pain in Patients with Cancer, 173
Kris C. P. Vissers, Kees Besse, Michel Wagemans, Wouter Zuurmond, Maurice J.M.M. Giezeman, Arno Lataster, Nagy Mekhail, Allen W. Burton, Maarten van Kleef and Frank Huygen
- 24 Chronic Refractory Angina Pectoris, 191
Maarten van Kleef, Peter Staats, Nagy Mekhail and Frank Huygen
- 25 Ischemic Pain in the Extremities and Raynaud's Phenomenon, 196
Jacques Devulder, Hans van Suijlekom, Robert Van Dongen, Sudhir Diwan, Nagy Mekhail, Maarten van Kleef and Frank Huygen
- 26 Pain in Chronic Pancreatitis, 202
Martine Puylaert, Leonardo Kapural, Jan Van Zundert, Dirk Peek, Arno Lataster, Nagy Mekhail, Maarten van Kleef and Yolande C. A. Keulemans
- Index, 212

Contributor List

Honorio T. Benzon MD, FIPP

Department of Anesthesiology
Northwestern University Feinberg School of
Medicine
Chicago, IL, USA

Kees Besse MD, FIPP

Department of Anesthesiology Pain and Palliative
Medicine
Radboud University
Nijmegen Medical Centre
Nijmegen, the Netherlands

Allen W. Burton MD, FIPP

Department of Pain Medicine
UT MD Anderson Cancer Center
Houston, TX, USA

Jianguo Cheng MD, PhD

Department of Pain Management
Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland
OH, USA

Steven P. Cohen MD

Department of Anesthesiology & Critical Care
Medicine
John Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore
Walter Reed Army Medical Center
Washington, DC, USA

Paul Cornelissen MD

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain
Management
Jeroen Bosch Ziekenhuis's Hertogenbosch
the Netherlands

Miles Day MD, DABA FIPP, DABIPP

Professor
Medical Director
International Pain Centre Texas Tech
University HSC
Lubbock, Texas, USA

Jan De Witte MD

Department of Anesthesiology
Intensive Care Medicine, and Pain Management
OLV-Ziekenhuis, Aalst, Belgium

Richard Derby, MD, FIPP

Medical Director
Spinal Diagnostics and Treatment Center
Daly City, CA, USA

Jacques Devulder MD, PhD

Department of Anesthesiology and
Multidisciplinary Pain Centre
University Hospital Ghent
Ghent, Belgium

Sudhir Diwan, MD, DABIPP, FIPP

Executive Director
The Spine & Pain Institute of New York
Staten Island University Hospital
New York

Robert van Dongen MD, PhD, FIPP

Department of Anesthesiology, Pain
and Palliative Medicine
Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre
Nijmegen, the Netherlands

Maarten van Eerd MD, FIPP

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain
Management
Amphia Ziekenhuis, Breda
The Netherlands

Frank van Eijs MD

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain
Management
St. Elisabeth Hospital
Tilburg, the Netherlands

Catharina G. Faber MD, PhD

Department of Neurology
Maastricht University Medical Centre
the Netherlands

Wilco E. van Genderen, MD

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain
Management
Medical Centre Jan van Goyen
Amsterdam, the Netherlands

José W. Geurts, MSc

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain
Management
Maastricht University Medical Centre
Maastricht, the Netherlands

Maurice J.M.M. Giezeman MD, PhD

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain
Management
Diaconessenhuis, Utrecht
the Netherlands

Gerbrand J. Groen MD, PhD

Department of Anesthesiology & Pain Treatment,
University Medical Centre Groningen
University of Groningen, Groningen, the
Netherlands

Craig T. Hartrick, MD, FIPP

Department of Anesthesiology, Biomedical
Sciences, and Health Sciences
Oakland University William Beaumont School of
Medicine
Rochester, MI, USA

Salim Hayek MD, PhD, FIPP

Division of Pain Medicine
University Hospitals
Cleveland, OH, USA

Marc Huntoon MD

Division of Pain Medicine
Chief
Professor of Anesthesiology
Vanderbilt University,
Nashville TN, USA

Frank Huygen MD, PhD, FIPP

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain
Management
Erasmus University Medical Centre
Rotterdam

Contributor List

Markus Janssen MD, FIPP

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Management
Maastricht University Medical Centre, Maastricht
The Netherlands

Jan Willem Kallewaard MD, FIPP

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Management
Rijnstate Hospital
Arnhem, the Netherlands

Leonardo Kapural MD, PhD, FIPP

Department of Pain Management,
Cleveland Clinic
Cleveland, OH, USA

Yolande Keulemans, MD, PhD

Department of Gastroenterology
Maastricht University Medical Centre
Maastricht, the Netherlands

Maarten van Kleef, MD, PhD, FIPP

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Management
Maastricht University Pain Centre
Maastricht, the Netherlands

Arno Lataster MSc

Department of Anatomy and Embryology
Maastricht University
Maastricht, the Netherlands

Robert Levy MD, PhD

Professor and Chairman
Department of Neurological Surgery and Co-Director,
Shands Jacksonville Neuroscience Institute
University of Florida College of Medicine
Jacksonville, FL, USA

Timothy R. Lubenow MD, FIPP

Department of Anesthesiology
Rush University Medical Center
Chicago, IL, USA

Nagy Mekhail, MD, PhD, FIPP

Department of Pain Management
Cleveland Clinic
Cleveland, OH, USA

Samer Narouze MD, MSc, FIPP

Centre For Pain Medicine
Summa Western Reserve Hospital
Cuyahoga Falls, Oh, USA

Turo J. Nurmikko MD, PhD

Pain Research Institute and
Faculty of Health and Life Sciences
University of Liverpool
Liverpool, UK

Nileshkumar Patel MD, MBA

Clinical Professor, Anesthesiology
Advanced Pain Management
Green Bay, WI, USA

Jacob Patijn, MD, PhD

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Management
Maastricht University Pain Centre
Maastricht, the Netherlands

Dirk Peek MD

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine
St. Jans Gasthuis, Weert
The Netherlands

Wouter Pluijms MD

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Management
Maastricht University Medical Centre, Maastricht

Jason E. Pope, MD

Director of the Headache Center
Napa Pain Institute
Napa, CA
Assistant Professor of Anesthesiology
Vanderbilt University Medical Center
Nashville, TN

Martine Puylaert MD, FIPP

Department of Anesthesiology and Multidisciplinary Pain Centre
Ziekenhuis Oost-Limburg
Genk, Belgium

Prithvi Raj, MD, FIPP

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine
Texas Tech University
Texas, TX, USA

Olav Rohof MD, PhD, FIPP

Orbis Medisch Centrum,
Pijnklinik
Sittard Geleen
the Netherlands

Richard W. Rosenquist MD

Chairman of the Pain Management Department
Cleveland Clinic
Cleveland, OH, USA

Menno E. Sluiter MD, PhD, FIPP

Pain Unit, Swiss Paraplegic Centre
Nottwil, Switzerland

Peter Staats MD, FIPP

Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine
John Hopkins University
Baltimore, MA, USA

Michael Stanton-Hicks MB; BS, Dr med, FIPP

Department of Pain Management
Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland
OH, USA

Robert Jan Stolker MD, PhD

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Management
Erasmus University Medical Centre
Rotterdam the Netherlands

Hans van Suijlekom MD, PhD

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Management
Catharina Ziekenhuis, Eindhoven
The Netherlands

Karolina Szadek MD

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Management
Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam
Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Michel A. M. B. Terheggen MD

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Management
Rijnstate Hospital
Arnhem, the Netherlands

Ricardo Vallejo MD, PhD, FIPP

Millennium Pain Center
Bloomington, IL, USA

Koen Van Boxem MD, FIPP

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Management
Sint-Jozefkliniek, Bornem and Willebroek
Bornem, Belgium

Eric Vanduynhoven MD

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Management
GZA, Campus Sint
Augustinus, Antwerp
Belgium

Pascal Vanelderden MD, FIPP

Department of Anesthesiology and Multidisciplinary Pain Centre
Ziekenhuis Oost-Limburg, Genk, Belgium

Jan Van Zundert, MD, PhD, FIPP

Department of Anesthesiology and Multidisciplinary Pain Centre
Ziekenhuis Oost-Limburg, Genk, Belgium

Kris C. P. Vissers MD, PhD, FIPP

Department of Anesthesiology Pain and Palliative
Medicine
Radboud University
Nijmegen Medical Centre
Nijmegen, the Netherlands

Michel Wagemans MD, PhD

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain
Management
Renier de Graaf Groep
Delft, The Netherlands

Mark Wallace MD

Center for Pain Medicine
University of California
San Diego Medical Center
San Diego, CA, USA

Albert J. M. van Wijck MD, PhD

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain
Management
University Medical Centre, Utrecht
the Netherlands

Andre Wolff MD, PhD

Department of Anesthesiology Pain
and Palliative Medicine
Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre
Nijmegen, the Netherlands

Wouter Zuurmond MD, PhD

Department of Anesthesiology, Pain Therapy and
Palliative Care
VU Medical Centre, Amsterdam
The Netherlands

Foreword

by **Menno E. Sluiter MD, PhD, FIPP**

Seeing this book makes me proud of my university city Maastricht, where I have left so many footsteps and where I still have many friends. It is a great honor for me to have been invited to write this foreword.

Besides accurately describing the various techniques in detail, this book has an accent on evidence-based medicine. This comes naturally for the Dutch since soberness and standing firmly on the ground belong to their prominent features. It makes the book into a solid and reliable guide for many pain practitioners.

My first footsteps in the world of invasive pain treatment date back to a very different period. My mentors and teachers were Jur Bouma in the Netherlands and legendary names, such as Sampson Lipton and Mark Mehta, who played such a pivotal role in their time. Those were the days when solitary observations easily sparked attention or even a trend. Epidural phenol at T12 has been recommended for anal pain for about a decade, one author copying it from another because it was so bizarre. Ondine's syndrome, as a complication of a cordotomy, received undue attention probably because of its romantic name. Evidence-based medicine was still a far cry.

This book therefore symbolizes for me how invasive pain treatment has become mature within a relatively short period. This process of growth has taken place despite a head wind that is specific for the subject. Many of the procedures are intricate, and success or failure may depend on seemingly trivial details, causing differences in results between researchers. Also pain is a subjective experience and this has various consequences. It makes it particularly difficult to translate results into numbers that are suitable for meaningful statistical analysis. It may even influence results. I firmly believe that a procedure that is performed by a friendly, interested doctor in a friendly environment has a greater chance of success than a procedure under less favorable circumstances.

If this is placebo, so be it. It makes pain treatment different from putting a stent into a coronary artery or from removing a tumor under general anesthesia.

Maturity is a sign of growth and it has to be encouraged. Evidence-based medicine will be an indispensable and welcome element of invasive pain treatment in the time to come. It will save patients from getting useless treatments and it will convince insurers to follow up on reasonable demands. It will hopefully discourage those who seek financial gain from a vulnerable group of patients. It will also provide interventional pain treatment with the respected place in the medical community that it deserves.

But, on the other hand, maturity may also be taken as a sign of immanent old age. When reading this book the reader should also realize that all these procedures have once been done for the first time. This reflects a mixture of prudence and courage, but also alertness to observations and the urge to make it a better world for patients who could not be helped before. This process of growth and renewal must not be lost. It should be seen as a complement of evidence-based medicine rather than as a contradiction. After all, without ideas and innovation the need for evidence would soon dry up, and what good is a new procedure without evidence?

The book underscores the need for proper training. The prevalence of chronic pain is such that, despite the laudable efforts of World Institute of Pain, there is still a shortage of well trained doctors who can provide this type of treatment. This is a problem because reading even this book is not enough and practical training is costly in terms of material and manpower. It is to be hoped that the increasing number of potential trainers will gradually resolve the problem.

I recommend this book as a standard manual in the library of every interventionalist. Happy reading!

Foreword

by **P. Prithvi Raj MD, FIPP**

Jan Van Zundert, Jaap Patijn, Craig Hartrick, Arno Lataster, Frank Huygen, Nagy Mekhail, and Maarten van Kleef, all internationally renowned pain physicians, have embarked on writing “Evidence-Based Interventional Pain Medicine According to Clinical Diagnosis”. They have devoted most of their lives to improving the pain management of patients globally. At their request, I am honored to write a Foreword for their new book.

To emphasize the importance of this book, I need to reiterate the statistics available to us on chronic pain today. Chronic pain prevails globally, the total number of persons living with this specific disease or condition with feeling of pain, ranges from 54% in Sweden to 13% in Japan. These studies show that in the rest of the developed countries, such as United States, United Kingdom and Australia, the incidence is somewhere in between. Studies also show that pain imposes a huge economic burden on all countries; for example, in the United States it was calculated that in 1991, the USA spent eighty-six billion dollars on chronic back pain management. Today, because the elderly are living longer, the prevalence of chronic pain is rising with age. Another problem one needs to recognize is that only one billion people in the developed countries have the luxury of utilizing the most advanced pain management techniques. The other five billion people, who have medium to low standards of living, are unable to receive the benefits of these new techniques of pain management.

The World Institute of Pain (WIP) and its members have been aware of this problem and the disparities between countries in terms of standard of care and practices of pain management. Since 1994, WIP’s mission has been to train pain physicians and certify their competency in interventional pain management. By all accounts, this mission has become very successful globally.

Pain practice today is fortunate to have many physicians taking this practice as a professional part of their career. They come from all specialties and the book now has to reflect the advances in Pain Practice of all those specialties, not just those in Anesthesiology. The debate is still raging whether a single pain specialist can deliver better pain management than a group of specialists together. The cost of managing such multidisciplinary clinics has been called into question especially by the reimbursement agencies. A program developed by a multidisciplinary clinic is nowadays rejected outright by the reimbursement agencies, and even if it is approved, the efficacy of such programs is questionable. More and more the patients are referring themselves to the Pain Clinics

where their pain will be relieved over the short-term rather than addressing the long-term goal of improving the patient’s function and quality of life. That is why one finds a prolific growth of Interventional Pain Management Clinics and decrease in University-based Multidisciplinary Clinics. This is certainly the case in the USA and is also becoming common in other countries.

Pain Physicians have not tackled at all the discrepancy in pain practices between developed, developing and under developed countries. There is no factual account of the epidemiology of pain the world over; one cannot say for certain how many Pain Physicians are available per capita in any community. We certainly have made advances in understanding the new theories of pain, and in some pain syndromes, the longitudinal natural course, but we are far from having a reliable algorithm for any pain disorder. It is still hit and miss.

The challenge today is to train Pain Physicians in such a way that they have a standardized curriculum during their Residency and Pain Fellowship programs, followed by skilled practical training, either in Anesthesiology, Neurosurgery, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation or Psychiatry. Once trained, they need to be examined and tested periodically for their competency. This will raise the standard of pain practice, not only in the USA, but all over the world.

Evidence-based medicine (EBM) or evidence-based practice (EBP) aims to apply the best available evidence gained from scientific methods to clinical decision making. It seeks to assess the strength of evidence of the risks and benefits of treatments (including lack of treatment) and diagnostic tests. Evidence quality can range from meta-analyses and systematic reviews of double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trials at the top end, down to conventional wisdom at the bottom.

Let me explain the history of evidence-based medicine’s origin. Traces of evidence-based medicine’s origin can be found in ancient Greece. Although testing medical interventions for efficacy has existed since the time of Avicenna’s *The Canon of Medicine* in the 11th century, it was only in the 20th century that this effort evolved to impact almost all fields of health care and policy. Professor Archie Cochrane, a Scottish Epidemiologist, through his book *Effectiveness and Efficiency: Random Reflections on Health Services* (1972) and subsequent advocacy caused increasing acceptance of the concepts behind evidence-based practice. Cochrane’s work was honored through the naming of centers

of evidence-based medical research—Cochrane Centers—and an international organization, the Cochrane Collaboration. The explicit methodologies used to determine “best evidence” were largely established by the McMaster University research group led by David Sackett and Gordon Guyatt. Guyatt later coined the term “evidence-based” in 1990. The term “evidence-based medicine” first appeared in the medical literature in 1992 in a paper by Guyatt et al. Relevant journals include the *British Medical Journal’s* *Clinical Evidence*, the *Journal of Evidence-Based Healthcare* and *Evidence-Based Health Policy*. All of these were co-founded by Anna Donald, an Australian pioneer in the discipline.

There has been discussion of applying what has been learned from EBM to public policy. In his 1996 inaugural speech as President of the Royal Statistical Society, Adrian Smith held out evidence-based medicine as an exemplar for all public policy. He proposed that “evidence-based policy” should be established for

education, prisons and policing policy, and all areas of government

This book “Evidence-Based Interventional Pain Medicine According to Clinical Diagnoses” fits the void where literature should conform to local necessities for information to be useful in that society. The format of the book is excellent; each chapter is consistent in describing an interventional technique in simple terms from history to complications and efficacy, stressing at all times the technique.

The reader who is interested in learning, training and practicing interventional pain medicine will find this book extremely useful and informative. It illustrates not only the usual common techniques but also the emerging techniques; this makes it unique and different from the usual text books on pain. I wholeheartedly recommend the interventional pain physician to have this book in their library.

Introduction

The use of interventional pain management techniques has gradually become integrated into the treatment plan of patients suffering from chronic pain. After a long period of empirical use, it is time to move on to the professionalization and standardization of this practice. Interventional pain management techniques are *target specific*. There is evidence that better patient selection increases the success ratio.¹ Therefore, a standard patient evaluation to “fine-tune” the clinical pain diagnosis is mandatory. A detailed description of the technical performance provides a guideline for the standardized interventional pain procedure.

The efficacy of these techniques has been described in randomized controlled trials, observational studies, retrospective studies, and case reports. Evidence-based practice guidelines provide a good review of the literature in a context that makes it accessible and useful to both the clinician and researcher.^{2,3}

The available evidence is summarized by treatment option or technique. There are, however, several studies indicating that the chances for treatment success increase with better patient selection.^{1,4–7} A wellformed management strategy starts with an accurate evaluation process to identify the pain diagnosis. It is of utmost importance to first check for the so-called red flags that may be indicative of an underlying primary pathology, which needs adequate treatment prior to symptomatic pain management techniques. The treatment relies on accurate use of conservative interventions, potentially in association with interventional pain management techniques. Consequently, evidence-based practice guidelines are of greater practical value when they are specific for each different pain diagnosis.

Guideline development

In daily practice the important goal of pain medicine is to use a specific treatment, conservative and/or interventional, for the right patient at the right moment. Therefore, treatment selection

should be according to clinical diagnoses. To improve recognition and information retrieval, the articles have been organized according to a strict structure:

- Introduction
- Diagnosis
- History
- Physical examination
- Additional tests
- Differential diagnosis
- Treatment
 - Conservative management
 - Interventional management
- Complications of interventional treatment
- Evidence for interventional management
- Recommendations
- Treatment algorithm
- Techniques
- Summary

Although the scientific literature is predominantly Anglo-Saxon and most doctors use the English denominations of anatomical structures, in this series, anatomical structures were indicated with the Latin denomination (*Terminologia Anatomica*) and the English denomination was, where appropriate, added between brackets.⁸ This option was specifically chosen to help people around the world to use the correct denomination when expressing themselves in a language other than English.

This series has focused on interventional pain management techniques, because they have undergone a rapid evolution in recent decades with additional well-conducted research being published regularly. The use of these techniques for the right indication may improve the quality of life of carefully selected patients. Moreover, for correct application of interventional pain management techniques, both good theoretical knowledge and practical experience are mandatory. These skills can only be acquired through training and continuing education.

Introduction

The strict rules used to establish EBM guidelines may lead to exclusion of relatively new treatments that are only supported by noncontrolled trials. For the interventional pain management techniques covered in this series, in-depth literature searches on efficacy, side effects, and complications have been performed. The incidence of side effects and complications was largely derived from three reviews that specifically address the complications of interventional pain management techniques.^{2,9,10} Disease and diagnosis related information was retrieved from high-quality review articles.

Guideline rationale

To make informed recommendations, the available evidence must be assigned “weight.” When scoring the evidence of interventional pain management techniques, perhaps even more than for any other treatment modality, the principle “*Primo non nocere*” holds true. The “weighted” rating must consider the evidence for effect and balance this evidence against the incidence and severity of side effects and complications. The scoring system that best observed these considerations was published by Guyatt et al.,¹¹ “Grading strength of recommendations and quality of evidence in clinical guidelines.” The method was then adapted specifically for interventional pain management techniques.¹²

First, a determination was made as to whether the potential benefits outweigh the risk and/or burden. The benefit/risk assessment was assigned a *numerical value* of 1 if the benefit because of the effectiveness of the treatment was greater than the risk and burden of potential complications. A value of 2 was given when the benefit of the effect was closely balanced with the risk and burden of possible side effects.

The *grade* of the evidence was then indicated by a letter: A, B, or C. Following this system, a value of A indicates the highest level of evidence (various randomized controlled trials [RCTs] of good quality), B represents evidence derived from RCTs with methodological limitations or large observational studies, and C is assigned when the evidence is limited to observational studies or case series. Additionally, a score of “0” is given for techniques that are only described in case reports. Finally, the evidence was interpreted for outcome, indicated as follows: positive outcome (+), negative outcome (−), or, when both positive and negative studies were included, (±) was used.

The grading and subsequent implications are summarized in Table 1.

In the recommendations, the practical *implication* “study related” is used for treatment options currently having low-level evidence as determined by systematic recording of the following:

- Patient characteristics
- Diagnostic process
- Treatment including the details of the technique concerned
- Evaluation of the result (preferably Global Perceived effect, VAS, EuroQol, and a complaint-specific scale at 3, 6, and if necessary at 12 months)
- Side effects and complications

Systematic reporting of results can help to accumulate information that further enables estimation of the “value” of a technique when it has been applied to a larger number of patients. This information may form the motivation for a prospective randomized study.

Certain pain management techniques require an extensive expertise and specialized materials and equipment. Therefore, it is appropriate that those specific techniques should be performed in specialized pain centers.

Table 1. Summary of Evidence Scores and Implications for Recommendation.

Score	Description	Implication
1 A +	Effectiveness demonstrated in various RCTs of good quality. The benefits clearly outweigh risk and burdens	Positive recommendation
1 B +	One RCT or more RCTs with methodological weaknesses, demonstrate effectiveness. The benefits clearly outweigh risk and burdens	
2 B +	One or more RCTs with methodological weaknesses, demonstrate effectiveness. Benefits closely balanced with risk and burdens	
2 B ±	Multiple RCTs, with methodological weaknesses, yield contradictory results better or worse than the control treatment. Benefits closely balanced with risk and burdens, or uncertainty in the estimates of benefits, risk and burdens.	Considered, preferably study-related
2 C +	Effectiveness only demonstrated in observational studies. Given that there is no conclusive evidence of the effect, benefits closely balanced with risk and burdens	
0	There is no literature or there are case reports available, but these are insufficient to prove effectiveness and/or safety. These treatments should only be applied in relation to studies.	Only study-related
2 C −	Observational studies indicate no or too short-lived effectiveness. Given that there is no positive clinical effect, risk and burdens outweigh the benefit	Negative recommendation
2 B −	One or more RCTs with methodological weaknesses, or large observational studies that do not indicate any superiority to the control treatment. Given that there is no positive clinical effect, risk and burdens outweigh the benefit	

Each diagnostic process has been well described and the evidence for management options reviewed within the context of a specific diagnosis. For recommended interventional techniques, a detailed description for performance is provided. Other common treatment options are beyond the scope in this series. Importantly, the literature for the pharmacological treatment is not covered in depth and little attention is paid to the multidisciplinary management and the role of cognitive behavioral treatment in this series.

This book was initially based on practice guidelines written by Dutch and Flemish (Belgian) experts that are assembled in a handbook for the Dutch-speaking pain physicians. After translation, the articles were updated and edited in cooperation with U.S./International pain specialists. Because this updating process and the sequential publication of articles, the latest literature update varies from one article to another. Sixty authors, each expert in their field, have contributed to this series.

The validation of the guidelines was carried out in a process of peer review in two stages.

The first edition of the guidelines in Dutch was submitted to the members of the Associations of anesthesiologists with special interest for pain management from the Netherlands (Nederlandse Vereniging voor Anesthesiologie sectie Pijn geneeskunde [NVAsP]) and the Dutch-speaking part of Belgium (Vlaamse Anesthesiologische Vereniging voor Pijnbestrijding [VAVP]). During the review process, more than 200 remarks and questions were raised by the members and treated by the authors. In this way, the guidelines were accepted by means of a broad consensus.

Secondly, as part of the publications of this series in Pain Practice, each translated and updated chapter was reviewed and updated by minimum two U.S. coauthors and each article underwent the journal's peer review.

The evidence rating of the interventional techniques is summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Summary of the Evidence Rating Per Diagnosis.

Trigeminal neuralgia		
Radiofrequency (RF) treatment of the Gasserian ganglion	2 B +	Recommended
Pulsed RF treatment of the Gasserian ganglion	2 B –	Negative recommendation
Cluster headache		
RF treatment of the pterygopalatine ganglion (sphenopalatinum)	2 C +	To be considered
Occipital nerve stimulation	2 C +	To be considered in specialized centers and study related
Persistent idiopathic facial pain		
Pulsed RF treatment of the ganglion pterygopalatinum (sphenopalatinum)	2 C +	To be considered
Cervical radicular pain		
Interlaminar epidural corticosteroid administration	2 B +	Recommended
Transforaminal epidural corticosteroid administration	2 B –	Negative recommendation
RF treatment adjacent to the cervical ganglion spinale (DRG)	2 B +	Recommended
Pulsed RF treatment adjacent to the cervical ganglion spinale (DRG)	1 B +	Recommended
Spinal cord stimulation	0	Study related in specialized centers
Cervical facet pain		
Intra-articular injections	0	Study related
Therapeutic (repetitive) cervical ramus medialis (medial branch) of the ramus dorsalis block (local anesthetic with or without corticosteroid)	2 B +	Recommended
RF treatment of the cervical ramus medialis (medial branch) of the ramus dorsalis	2 C +	To be considered
Cervicogenic headache		
Injection of nervus occipitalis major with corticosteroid + local anesthetic	1 B +	Recommended
Injection of atlanto-axial joint with corticosteroid + local anesthetic	2 C –	Negative recommendation
RF treatment of the cervical ramus medialis (medial branch) of the ramus dorsalis	2 B ±	To be considered
Pulsed RF treatment of the cervical ganglion spinale (DRG) (C2–C3)	0	Study related
Whiplash-associated disorders		
Botulinum toxin type A	2 B –	Negative recommendation
Intra-articular corticosteroid injection	2 C –	Negative recommendation
RF treatment of the cervical ramus medialis (medial branch) of the ramus dorsalis	2 B +	Recommended

Continued

Introduction

Table 2. Continued.

Occipital neuralgia		
Single infiltration of the nervi occipitales with local anesthetic and corticosteroids	2 C +	To be considered
Pulsed RF treatment of the nervi occipitales	2 C +	To be considered
Pulsed RF treatment of the cervical ganglion spinale (DRG)	0	Study related
Subcutaneous stimulation of the nervi occipitales	2 C +	To be considered in specialized centres
Botulinum toxin A injection	2 C ±	Only study related
Painful shoulder complaints		
Corticosteroid injections	2 B ±	To be considered
Continuous cervical epidural infusion	2 C +	To be considered
Pulsed RF treatment of the nervus suprascapularis	2 C +	To be considered
Thoracic pain		
Intercostal block	0	Study related
RF treatment of thoracic ganglion spinale (DRG)	2 C +	To be considered
Pulsed RF treatment of thoracic ganglion spinale (DRG)	2 C +	To be considered
Lumbosacral radicular pain		
Interlaminar epidural corticosteroid administration	2 B ±	To be considered
Transforaminal epidural corticosteroid administration in "contained herniation"	2 B +	Recommended
Transforaminal epidural corticosteroid administration in "extruded herniation"	2 B –	Negative recommendation
RF lesioning adjacent to the lumbar ganglion spinale (DRG)	2 A –	Negative recommendation
Pulsed RF treatment adjacent to the lumbar ganglion spinale (DRG)	2 C +	To be considered
Spinal cord stimulation (FBSS only)	2 A +	Recommended in specialized centers
Adhesiolysis—epiduroscopy	2 B ±	To be considered in specialized centers
Pain originating from the lumbar facet joints		
Intra-articular corticosteroid injections	2 B ±	To be considered
RF treatment of the lumbar rami mediales (medial branches) of the dorsal ramus	1 B +	Recommended
Sacroiliac joint pain		
Therapeutic intra-articular injections with corticosteroids and local anesthetic	1 B +	Recommended
RF treatment of rami dorsales and rami laterales	2 C +	To be considered
Pulsed RF treatment of rami dorsales and rami laterales	2 C +	To be considered
Cooled / RF treatment of the rami laterales	2 B +	Recommended
Coccygodynia		
Local injections corticosteroids/local anesthetic	2 C +	To be considered
Intradiscal corticosteroid injections, ganglion impar block, RF ganglion impar, caudal block	0	Study related
Neurostimulation	0	Study related
Discogenic low back pain		
Intradiscal corticosteroid administration	2 B –	Negative recommendation
RF treatment of the discus intervertebralis	2 B ±	To be considered
Intradiscal electrothermal therapy	2 B ±	To be considered
Biacuplasty	0	Study related
Discrode	0	Study related
RF of the ramus communicans	2 B +	Recommended
Complex regional pain syndrome		
Intravenous regional block guanethidine	2 A –	Negative recommendation
Ganglion stellatum (stellate ganglion) block	2 B +	Recommended
Lumbar sympathetic block	2 B +	Recommended
Plexus brachialis block	2 C +	To be considered
Epidural infusion analgesia	2 C +	To be considered
Spinal cord stimulation	2 B +	Recommended in specialized centers
Peripheral nerve stimulation	2 C +	To be considered in specialized centers
Herpes zoster and post-herpetic neuralgia		
Interventional pain treatment of acute herpes zoster		
Epidural corticosteroid injections	2 B +	Recommended
Sympathetic nerve block	2 C +	To be considered

Table 2. Continued.

Prevention of PHN		
One-time epidural corticosteroid injection	2 B –	Negative recommendation
Repeated paravertebral injections	2 C +	To be considered
Sympathetic nerve block	2 C +	To be considered
Treatment of PHN		
Epidural corticosteroid injections	0	Study related
Sympathetic nerve block	2 C +	To be considered
Intrathecal injection	?	
Spinal cord stimulation	2 C +	To be considered in specialized centers
Painful diabetic polyneuropathy		
Spinal cord stimulation	2 C +	To be considered in specialized centers
Carpal tunnel syndrome		
Local injections with corticosteroids	1 B +	Recommended
Pulsed RF treatment median nerve	0	Study related
Meralgia paresthetica		
Lateral femoral cutaneous nerve (LFCN) infiltration with local anesthetic ± corticosteroid	2 C +	To be considered
Pulsed RF treatment of LFCN	0	Study related
Spinal cord stimulation	0	Study related in specialized centers
Phantom pain		
Pulsed RF treatment of the stump neuroma	0	Study related
Pulsed RF treatment adjacent to the spinal ganglion (DRG)	0	Study related
Spinal cord stimulation	0	Study related in specialized centers
Traumatic plexus lesion		
Spinal cord stimulation	0	Study related in specialized centers
Pain in patients with cancer		
Epidural and intrathecal administration of analgesics		
Intrathecal medication delivery	2 B +	Recommended
Epidural medication delivery	2 C +	To be considered
Unilateral oncologic pains below the shoulder or dermatome C5		
Cervical cordotomy	2 C +	To be considered in specialized centers
Upper abdominal pain due to cancer of the pancreas/stomach		
Neurolytic plexus coeliacus block	2 A +	To be considered
Neurolytic nervus splanchnicus block	2 B +	Recommended
Visceral pain due to pelvic tumors		
Neurolytic plexus hypogastricus block	2 C +	Recommended
Perineal pain due to pelvic tumors		
Intrathecal phenolization of lower sacral roots of cauda equina	0	Study related
Spinal pain due to vertebral compression fractures		
Vertebroplasty	2 B +	Recommended
Kyphoplasty	2 B +	Recommended
Chronic refractory angina pectoris		
Spinal cord stimulation	2 B +	Recommended in specialized centers
Ischemic pain in the extremities and Raynaud's phenomenon		
Ischemic vascular disease		
Sympathectomy	2 B ±	To be considered
Spinal cord stimulation	2 B ±	To be considered in specialized centers
Raynaud's phenomenon		
Sympathectomy	2 C +	To be considered
Pain in chronic pancreatitis		
RF nervus splanchnicus block	2 C +	To be considered
Spinal cord stimulation	2 C +	To be considered in specialized centers

Future guidelines

Thanks to the continual development of more specific diagnostic tools and to the improved understanding of the pathophysiology, and consequently the mechanism of action of the different treatment options; it is believed that treatment selection for chronic pain syndromes will become more mechanism based. Careful attention to this evolution is warranted and, when necessary, an update of the guidelines should be made.

This book on interventional pain management can be considered an ongoing project. The methodology for literature retrieval and selection of the publications to be withheld, as well as the method for evidence scoring, should evolve with each update.

A treatment can only be recommended when the effect is proven in well-designed trials. Randomized controlled trials provide the highest level of evidence. With interventional pain management techniques, however, blinding patients and investigators can be problematic. The most important obstacle encountered during the conduct of a double-blind randomized sham-controlled trial is the patient inclusion. When explaining that there is a chance for a sham or placebo treatment, patients frequently refuse to give informed consent, and even when they are included in the study, they may withdraw, opting to go *medical shopping*. Therefore, the methodology for randomized clinical trials on interventional pain management techniques should be revisited.

The *prerandomization* design may form a solution for the inclusion problem, because patients are randomized to the interventional group or to the conservative treatment group prior to requesting consent. Patients in the control group are asked to fill out questionnaires relative to their health at regular time points, because it is the objective to carefully evaluate the treatment effect.¹

The editors want to express their special gratitude to all the co-authors of the different articles and especially Arno Lataster for reviewing each paper as to the correct use of the anatomical terminology, Rogier Trompert for the anatomical illustrations and Nicole Van den Hecke for coordination of the entire project.

The entire project was supported by the World Institute of Pain (WIP), and the Dutch and Flemish association of pain anesthesiologists (NVA and VAVP).

Supporting information

Please note: Wiley–Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

References

1. Van Zundert J, Van Boxem K, Joosten EA, Kessels A. Clinical trials in interventional pain management: optimizing chances for success? *Pain*. 2010;151:571–574.

2. Boswell MV, Trescot AM, Datta S, et al. Interventional techniques: evidence-based practice guidelines in the management of chronic spinal pain. *Pain Physician*. 2007;10:7–111.
3. Practice guidelines for chronic pain management: an updated report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Chronic Pain Management and the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. *Anesthesiology*. 2010;112:810–833.
4. Karppinen J, Ohinmaa A, Malmivaara A, et al. Cost effectiveness of periradicular infiltration for sciatica: subgroup analysis of a randomized controlled trial. *Spine*. 2001;26:2587–2595.
5. Karppinen J, Malmivaara A, Kurunlahti M, et al. Periradicular infiltration for sciatica: a randomized controlled trial. *Spine*. 2001;26:1059–1067.
6. Lord SM, Barnsley L, Wallis BJ. Percutaneous radiofrequency neurotomy in the treatment of cervical zygapophyseal joint pain. *N Engl J Med*. 1996;335:1721–1726.
7. van Kleef M, Barendse GA, Kessels F, Voets HM, Weber WE, de Lange S. Randomized trial of radiofrequency lumbar facet denervation for chronic low back pain. *Spine*. 1999;24:1937–1942.
8. *Federative Committee on Anatomical Terminology. Terminologia Anatomica*. Stuttgart: Georg Thieme Verlag; 1998.
9. Rathmell JP, Lake T, Ramundo MB. Infectious risks of chronic pain treatments: injection therapy, surgical implants, and intradiscal techniques. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2006;31:346–352.
10. Deer T, Sitzman T. Complications and risk management for interventional spinal therapies. *Pain Med*. 2008;9:S1–S141.
11. Guyatt G, Gutterman D, Baumann MH, et al. Grading strength of recommendations and quality of evidence in clinical guidelines: report from an american college of chest physicians task force. *Chest*. 2006;129:174–181.
12. van Kleef M, Mekhail N, van Zundert J. Evidencebased guidelines for interventional pain medicine according to clinical diagnoses. *Pain Pract*. 2009;9:247–251.

Search strategy and evidence rating

For the non-interventional treatments, reviews of the most recent information was retrieved. For the interventional treatment options, it was the objective to have the most accurate information.

We searched PubMed with the following search strategy: (“Indication/epidemiology”[Mesh] OR “Indication/etiology”[Mesh] OR “Indication/pathology”[Mesh] OR “Indication/physio pathology”[Mesh] OR “Indication/therapy”[Mesh])

The search for the first chapter of this book was finished in November 2008 and for the last article in October 2010.

A research associate selected all the abstracts that reported on: injection therapy, epidural steroid injection, radiofrequency, pulsed radiofrequency, neurostimulation/neuromodulation and other interventional pain therapy.

The full publications of the selected abstracts were retrieved and the reference list of those articles and important review articles were hand-searched for additional information.

The authors were experts in the field of the specific indication and well-aware of the most up-to-date information, including abstracts and posters presented at congresses, which were excluded from the evaluation.

Two independent reviewers (MvK and JVZ) assessed the studies and proposed an evidence rating based on the rating described in above (Table 1).

Afterwards two other editors, one anesthesiologist and one neurologist, validated or adapted the proposed rating (FH, JP).

All articles were submitted for review and comments to the entire Dutch speaking anesthesiologists pain physicians community (Netherlands and Flemish part of Belgium). After one month all questions and remarks were discussed at the annual national meeting and a broad consensus was reached. In the second stage at least two key opinion leaders of the US have reviewed, updated and finally validated the content and the evidence rating for each article.

The last phase consisted of submission for publication in the peer reviewed journal *Pain Practice*.